

LECTURE 18: GRAVITATIONAL WAVES

(CHAPTER 7 OF CARROLL)

Once again study a weak field limit of GR, this time not static

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{where } |h_{\mu\nu}| \ll 1$$

$g^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} - h^{\mu\nu}$  (to 1<sup>st</sup> order in  $h$ , note Carroll does entertain 2<sup>nd</sup> formula on p. 307-315 where he calculates energy loss due to gravitational radiation)

Conceptually, think of flat space time with a field  $h_{\mu\nu}$  which propagates

For instance, have local Lorentz transformation  $h_{\mu\nu}' = \Lambda_{\mu'}^{\mu} \Lambda_{\nu'}^{\nu} h_{\mu\nu}$  (for cosmology, to study gravitational waves you'd use a background metric  $g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}$ )

• What are the equations of motion for the perturbation  $h_{\mu\nu}$  to 1<sup>st</sup> order?

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\rho} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\rho\lambda} (\partial_{\mu} g_{\nu\lambda} + \partial_{\nu} g_{\lambda\mu} - \partial_{\lambda} g_{\mu\nu}) = \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\rho\lambda} (\partial_{\mu} h_{\nu\lambda} + \partial_{\nu} h_{\lambda\mu} - \partial_{\lambda} h_{\mu\nu})$$

Observe  $\Gamma$  is 1<sup>st</sup> order in  $h$  thus consider only derivative terms for Riemann, the terms with  $\Gamma$  contracted need not concern us,

$$R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \eta_{\mu\lambda} \partial_{\rho} \Gamma_{\nu\sigma}^{\lambda} - \eta_{\mu\lambda} \partial_{\sigma} \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^{\lambda}$$

$$= \eta_{\mu\lambda} \partial_{\rho} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\lambda\delta} (\partial_{\nu} h_{\delta\sigma} + \partial_{\sigma} h_{\delta\nu} - \partial_{\delta} h_{\nu\sigma}) - \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\lambda\delta} (\partial_{\nu} h_{\delta\rho} + \partial_{\rho} h_{\delta\nu} - \partial_{\delta} h_{\nu\rho}) \right]$$

canid since  $\partial_{\rho} \partial_{\sigma} = \partial_{\sigma} \partial_{\rho}$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\mu\lambda} \partial_{\rho} (\partial_{\nu} h_{\delta\sigma} + \partial_{\sigma} h_{\delta\nu} - \partial_{\delta} h_{\nu\sigma}) - \eta_{\mu\lambda} \partial_{\sigma} \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^{\lambda}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\rho} \partial_{\nu} h_{\delta\sigma} + \partial_{\rho} \partial_{\sigma} h_{\delta\nu} - \partial_{\rho} \partial_{\delta} h_{\nu\sigma}) - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\rho} \partial_{\nu} h_{\delta\sigma} - \partial_{\rho} \partial_{\delta} h_{\nu\sigma} + \partial_{\sigma} \partial_{\mu} h_{\nu\rho})$$

Found  $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\rho \partial_\nu h_{\mu\sigma} + \partial_\sigma \partial_\mu h_{\nu\rho} - \partial_\sigma \partial_\nu h_{\mu\rho} - \partial_\rho \partial_\mu h_{\nu\sigma})$  (2)

Then

Ricci Tensor

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\sigma \partial_\nu h^\sigma_\mu + \partial_\sigma \partial_\mu h^\sigma_\nu - \partial_\rho \partial_\nu h - \partial h_{\mu\nu})$$

$R_{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\mu\beta\nu}$

$\eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta h_{\mu\nu}$

$h = \eta^{\alpha\beta} h_{\alpha\beta} = h^\alpha_\alpha$

Hence  $R = \eta^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}$  yields the Ricci Scalar

$$\eta^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\sigma \partial_\nu h^{\sigma\nu} + \partial_\sigma \partial_\rho h^{\sigma\rho} - \partial h - \partial h)$$

$$\therefore R = \partial_\rho \partial_\nu h^{\mu\nu} - \partial h$$

Consequently, the Einstein Tensor  $G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} R$  gives

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\sigma \partial_\nu h^\sigma_\mu + \partial_\sigma \partial_\mu h^\sigma_\nu - \partial_\rho \partial_\nu h - \partial h_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho \partial_\lambda h^{\rho\lambda} + \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial h)$$

Remark: at this point Carroll enters a lengthy discussion on how to decompose  $h_{\mu\nu}$  into several component objects which generally are highly interdependent... but a several choice of gauge which we can fix to eliminate freedom in the equations as is convenient for various applications... I'll jump to the end where we learn on pg. 279 to define

$h_{00} = -2\Phi$

$h_{0i} = w_i$

$h_{ij} = 2S_{ij} - 2\Psi \delta_{ij}$

$\Phi = -\frac{1}{6} \delta^{ij} h_{ij}$

$S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (h_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \delta^{kl} h_{kl} \delta_{ij})$

where  $S_{ij}$  is traceless

Metric in terms of scalar  $\Phi$ , three vector  $W_i$ , traceless  $S_{ij}$  and scalar  $\mathcal{P}$  ③

$$ds^2 = -(1 + 2\Phi)dt^2 + W_i (dt dx^i + dx^i dt) + [(1 - 2\Phi)\delta_{ij} + 2S_{ij}] dx^i dx^j$$

The notation above is a decomposition of the metric perurbation  $h_{\mu\nu}$ . On pg. 280-283 Carroll examines the equations of motion for the fields  $\Phi, W_i, S_{ij}, \mathcal{P}$  along geodesics as well as the form of the Einstein tensor  $G_{\mu\nu}$  as it is expanded in terms of these fields e.g.  $G_{00} = 2\nabla^2\Phi + \partial_\mu\partial_\mu S^{kl}$

transverse gauge  $\partial_i S^{ij} = 0$  and  $\partial_i W^i = 0 \Rightarrow G_{00} = 2\nabla^2\Phi = 8\pi G T_{00}$

$G_{0j} = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 W_j + 2\partial_0\partial_j\mathcal{P} = 8\pi G T_{0j}$  Einstein's eqs

$G_{ij} = (\delta_{ij}\nabla^2 - \partial_i\partial_j)(\Phi - \mathcal{P})$   
 $\rightarrow -\partial_0\partial_{(i}W_{j)} + 2\delta_{ij}\partial_0^2\mathcal{P} - \square S_{ij} = 8\pi G T_{ij}$

Lorentz/harmonic gauge:  
 $\partial_\mu h^\mu{}_\nu - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\nu h = 0$

Carroll shows directly  $S_{ij} = S^i{}_j + S^j{}_i + S^i{}_i$  as it relates to  $W^i = W^i{}_j + W^j{}_i$

Gauge freedom in LRM  
 $F = dA \quad A^i = A + d\lambda \rightarrow dA^i = dA$

"Strain"  
 contains the gravitational radiation as we shall see

## Newtonian Fields and Photon Trajectories

(4)

Work in rest frame of dust where  $T_{\mu\nu} = \rho U_{\mu} U_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$   
Einstein's Eq<sup>s</sup> in the transverse gauge, for static sources drop time-derivative terms  
and we obtain: (see pg. ③) and set a few terms to zero)

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G \rho$$

$$\nabla^2 W_i = 0$$

$$(\delta_{ij} \nabla^2 - \partial_i \partial_j)(\Phi - \Psi) - \nabla^2 S_{ij} = 0$$

We seek non-singular solution which behave well at  $\infty$ .  
Hence,  $W^i = 0$  and taking the trace of the  $ij$ -eq<sup>s</sup> get  $2\nabla^2(\Phi - \Psi) = 0$   
thus  $\Phi = \Psi$ . But, from our discussion in early chapter we know  
how  $\Phi$  behaves in Newtonian limit... also true for  $\Psi$  apparently? Not sure  
the point here on pg. 287, but, the following is clear,

$$\nabla^2 S_{ij} = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{S_{ij} = 0} \text{ for nice sol<sup>n</sup>}$$

Anyway, seems like everything is zero, but  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  hence (again from ③)

$$ds^2 = -(1 + 2\Phi) dt^2 + (1 - 2\Phi)(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)$$

$$h_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -2\Phi & & & \\ & -2\Phi & & \\ & & -2\Phi & \\ & & & -2\Phi \end{pmatrix} \quad \nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G \rho$$

(in chapter 4 we just found  $h_{00} = -2\Phi$ , now have  $h_{ij}$  as well)

Deflection via Gravity

$$X^\mu(\lambda) = \underbrace{X^{(0)\mu}(\lambda)}_{\substack{\text{Solves} \\ \text{geodesic eq}^2 \\ \text{of background}}} + X^{(1)\mu}(\lambda)$$

(See picture on p. 288)

← (this is path of photon or some other massless particle)

$$k^\mu \equiv \frac{dX^{(0)\mu}}{d\lambda} \quad \lambda^\mu \equiv \frac{dX^{(1)\mu}}{d\lambda}$$

$$g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dX^\mu}{d\lambda} \frac{dX^\nu}{d\lambda} = 0$$

Continuing, (see p. 289-291 for details)

$$\Rightarrow \eta_{\mu\nu} k^\mu k^\nu = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (k^0)^2 = \vec{k} \cdot \vec{k} \equiv k^2$$

$$\hat{\alpha} = 2 \int \vec{\nabla}_\perp \Phi dS$$

For point mass with background path along x,

$$\hat{\alpha} = 2GMb \int \frac{dx}{(b^2+x^2)^{3/2}} = \frac{4GM}{b} \quad \leftarrow \text{deflection of light}$$

- Sir Arthur Eddington 1919, during total eclipse  $\frac{4GM_\odot}{R_\odot c^2} \rightarrow \hat{\alpha} = 1.75 \text{ arcsec}$
  - Experiment maybe too imprecise to support claim
  - gravitational lensing now an active area of astronomical research.
- (notice this gives a method to estimate mass which causes the deflection if everything else is pinned down ...)

# GRAVITATIONAL Wave SOLUTIONS

"Turn off" the energy momentum tensor  $T_{\mu\nu} = 0$  get  $\nabla^2 \Phi = 0$  and  $\nabla^2 w_i = 0$  and from the trace of  $ij - \delta_{ij}$ ?  $\nabla^2 \Phi = 0$  thus only the strain tensor remains

$$\square S_{ij} = 0$$

In the transverse traceless gauge the perturbation metric  $h_{\mu\nu}^{TT} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2S_{ij} \end{pmatrix}$

$$\square h_{\mu\nu}^{TT} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{matrix} h_{0\nu}^{TT} = 0 \\ \eta^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}^{TT} = 0 \\ \partial_\mu h_{\mu\nu}^{TT} = 0 \end{matrix}$$

$\square h_{\mu\nu}^{TT} = 0$  Plane wave solutions:  $h_{\mu\nu}^{TT} = C_{\mu\nu} \exp(ik_\sigma x^\sigma)$  where  $C_{\mu\nu}$  is a constant, symmetric, traceless (0,2) tensor  $\left\{ \begin{matrix} C_{0\nu} = 0 \\ \eta^{\mu\nu} C_{\mu\nu} = 0 \end{matrix} \right.$

Complex-valued

Proof: Consider  $\partial_\mu (\exp(ik_\sigma x^\sigma)) = \exp(ik_\sigma x^\sigma) \partial_\mu (ik_\sigma x^\sigma) = \exp(ik_\sigma x^\sigma) \cdot ik_\sigma \delta_{\mu\sigma}$  thus  $\partial_\mu (\exp(ik_\sigma x^\sigma)) = ik_\mu \exp(ik_\sigma x^\sigma)$  thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \square h_{\mu\nu}^{TT} &= \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta [C_{\mu\nu} \exp(ik_\sigma x^\sigma)] \\ &= C_{\mu\nu} \eta^{\alpha\beta} (ik_\alpha)(ik_\beta) \exp(ik_\sigma x^\sigma) \\ &= -k^\rho k_\rho h_{\mu\nu}^{TT} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\square h_{\mu\nu}^{TT} = 0$  requires, for nontrivial  $h_{\mu\nu}^{TT}$ ,  $k^\rho k_\rho = 0$

Solution is light-like.

- Write  $k^\sigma = (w, k^1, k^2, k^3)$  then  $k^\sigma k_\sigma = 0$  requires  $w^2 = \sum g_{ij} k^i k^j$  for our solution  $h_{\mu\nu}^{TT} = C_{\mu\nu} \exp(i k_\sigma x^\sigma)$ . Carroll remarks this solution is not unique & we can superpose any many such solutions

- To enforce transversality of the perturbation

$$0 = \partial_\mu h_{\mu\nu}^{TT} = i C^{\mu\nu} k_\mu e^{i k_\sigma x^\sigma} \rightarrow \underline{k_\mu C^{\mu\nu} = 0}.$$

- Suppose wave travelling in the  $X^3$ -direction

$$k^\mu = (w, 0, 0, k^3) = (w, 0, 0, w)$$

Observe  $k^\mu C_{\mu\nu} = 0$  and  $C_{0\nu} = 0 \Rightarrow C_{3\nu} = 0$   
 Hence only  $C_{11}, C_{12}, C_{21}, C_{22}$  are nontrivial. Since  $C_{\mu\nu}$  is both symmetric and traceless,

$$C_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C_{11} & C_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & C_{12} & -C_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Remark: plane travelling in  $X^3$ -direction completely characterized by  $C_{11}, C_{12}$  and  $w$ .

- On p. 296 Carroll describes how the plane wave moves nearby particles. The geodesic deviation equation yields approx. impersed)

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} (S^\mu) = \frac{1}{2} S^\sigma \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} h_{\mu\nu}^{TT} \delta^\sigma$$

: for wave travelling in  $X^3$ -direction only the  $S^1$  and  $S^2$  are disturbed by the wave (it is transverse)

Def<sup>n</sup>  $h_+ = C_{11}$  and  $h_x = C_{12}$  (read this as "cross" not  $x$ )

Then we have,  $C_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h_+ & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h_x & h_x & 0 \\ 0 & -h_+ & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Labels made to indicate motion caused by respective modes.

If  $h_x = 0$  then we should solve

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}(S^1) = \frac{1}{2} S^1 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (h_+ e^{ik_s x^s})$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}(S^2) = -\frac{1}{2} S^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (h_+ e^{ik_s x^s})$$

To find solutions of form,

$$S^1 = \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} h_+ e^{ik_s x^s} \right) S^1(0) \quad \& \quad S^2 = \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} h_+ e^{ik_s x^s} \right) S^2(0)$$

particles separated in  $X^1$ -direction have sep. in  $X^1$ -direction which oscillates in  $X^1$ -dir.

Likewise  $X^2$ -separated particles likewise have oscillating  $X^2$ -separation...

gives oscillation in plus pattern (see figure 7.4 on pg. 297 for what  $h_x = 0$ ,  $h_+ \neq 0$  and  $h_x = 0$  obtain)

Similarly, for  $h_x \neq 0$  and  $h_+ = 0$  obtain

$$S^1 = S^1(0) + \frac{1}{2} h_x e^{ik_s x^s} S^2(0) \quad \& \quad S^2 = S^2(0) + \frac{1}{2} h_x e^{ik_s x^s} S^1(0)$$

The above solutions suggest separation oscillation in  $X$ -pattern, see pg. 298, Fig. 7.5

Remark: can see plane waves in terms of L & R polarized waves

$h_R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(h_+ + ih_x)$  and  $h_L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(h_+ - ih_x)$ . These are invariant under rotation  $\Rightarrow$  the spin of graviton is 2

$$S = \frac{360^\circ}{2}$$

Reminders: discuss p. 300-320 on product of waves & energy loss & detection.