

LAST TEST PROBLEM (MIDTERM #5))

JAMES COOK

(1)

- Show kernel (P) is discrete

$$\ker(P) = \{ [\alpha] \in \tilde{G} \mid P([\alpha]) = e \}$$

We argued P was group homomorphism so $e_{\tilde{G}} = [e]$ is clearly in $\ker(P)$, $P([e]) = e(1) = e \in G$.

Let (U, x) be a chart about e in G then

we saw $(\tilde{V}, y_{\tilde{V}} = x \circ P|_{\tilde{V}})$ provides a chart

on \tilde{G} . However, $P^{-1}(U)$ is covered by more than just on \tilde{V} in general since P may be "multi-valued". However since \tilde{G}

is Hausdorff (we proved earlier) we can write

$$P^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_{\alpha} \tilde{V}_{\alpha} \quad \text{with } \tilde{V}_{\alpha} \cap \tilde{V}_{\beta} = \emptyset \text{ for } \alpha \neq \beta.$$

such that $P(\tilde{V}_{\alpha}) = U$ and $P|_{\tilde{V}_{\alpha}} : \tilde{V}_{\alpha} \rightarrow U$ is a homeomorphism. Thus $P|_{\tilde{V}_{\alpha}}$ is injective on \tilde{V}_{α} .

Let $[\alpha_0] \in \ker(P)$ then $\exists \tilde{V}_0$ with $[\alpha_0] \in \tilde{V}_0$ and $P|_{\tilde{V}_0} : \tilde{V}_0 \rightarrow U$ injective. Let $[\beta] \in \tilde{V}_0$

and suppose $P([\beta]) = e$ as well. Then

$$P([\alpha_0]) = e = P([\beta])$$

$$\Rightarrow [\alpha_0] = [\beta] \Rightarrow \ker P \cap \tilde{V}_0 = \{[\alpha_0]\}$$

Thus $[\alpha_0]$ is isolated by \tilde{V}_0 which is an open set in \tilde{G} . But $[\alpha_0]$ is arbitrary thus each point in $\ker P$ is isolated $\therefore \ker P$ is discrete

(I) Consider a manifold M with a maximal atlas \mathcal{A}_m and a nonvanishing n -form ω . We seek to show $\exists \mathcal{A}_m^+$ a subatlas of \mathcal{A}_m such that $\det [J_{y_0x_1}(x(q))] > 0$ for $(U, x), (V, y) \in \mathcal{A}_m^+$ where $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ and $q \in U \cap V$.

$$\text{Def} / \mathcal{A}_m^+ = \{(U, x) \in \mathcal{A}_m \mid \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right) > 0\}$$

Notice that we may write ω in terms of a function f and the differentials dx^i (locally that is)

$$\omega = f dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$$

We argue $f(p) > 0 \quad \forall p \in U$,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right) &= f(dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right) \\ &= f \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) dx_{i_{\sigma(1)}} \otimes \dots \otimes dx_{i_{\sigma(n)}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right) \\ &= f \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \underbrace{dx_{i_{\sigma(1)}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)}_{\delta_{i_{\sigma(1)}, 1}} \dots \underbrace{dx_{i_{\sigma(n)}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)}_{\delta_{i_{\sigma(n)}, n}} \end{aligned}$$

Then as $\omega > 0 \Rightarrow f > 0$. (this holds on U where $x = (x^i)$ are defined)

(3)

(1) Suppose $(V, y) \in \mathcal{A}_m^+$ overlapping $(U, x) \in \mathcal{A}_m^+$
 that is $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Since $(V, y) \in \mathcal{A}_m^+$ we
 can find $g: V \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ where (on V at least)

$$\omega = g dy_1 \wedge dy_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_n$$

If $p \in U \cap V$ then $d_p y_j = \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial x_k}(p) d_p x_k$ thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_p &= g(p) d_p y_1 \wedge d_p y_2 \wedge \dots \wedge d_p y_n \\ &= g(p) \left(\frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_{i_1}} \Big|_p dx_{i_1} \right) \wedge \left(\frac{\partial y_2}{\partial x_{i_2}} \Big|_p dx_{i_2} \right) \wedge \dots \wedge \left(\frac{\partial y_n}{\partial x_{i_n}} \Big|_p dx_{i_n} \right) \\ &= g(p) \underbrace{\frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_{i_1}}(p) \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial x_{i_2}}(p) \dots \frac{\partial y_n}{\partial x_{i_n}}(p)}_{\det \left(\left(\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j}(p) \right) \right)} d_p x_{i_1} \wedge d_p x_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge d_p x_{i_n} \\ &= g(p) \underbrace{\frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_{i_1}}(p) \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial x_{i_2}}(p) \dots \frac{\partial y_n}{\partial x_{i_n}}(p)}_{\in_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n} dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n \\ &= f(p) dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can read off,

$$g(p) \det \left(\left(\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j}(p) \right) \right) = f(p) \quad \text{now } f(p), g(p) > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\det \left(\left(\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j}(p) \right) \right) > 0 \quad \text{for } (U, x), (V, y) \in \mathcal{A}_m^+}$$

It remains to show \mathcal{A}_m^+ is an atlas.

(1) Need to show \mathcal{A}_m^+ is a subatlas of \mathcal{A}_m . ⑨

Note that since $w \neq 0$ on M it follows that for each $(U, x) \in \mathcal{A}_m$ we have

$$\text{I.) } w\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right) > 0$$

$$\text{II.) } w\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right) < 0$$

Suppose (U, x) falls into case II. We note $\exists (U, \tilde{x}) \in \mathcal{A}_m$ with $(U, \tilde{x}) \in \mathcal{A}_m^+$ as follows, define \tilde{x} by

$$\tilde{x}(p) = (x_2(p), x_1(p), x_3(p), \dots, x_n(p))$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{x}_1 \wedge d\tilde{x}_2 \wedge d\tilde{x}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge d\tilde{x}_n &= dx_2 \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_3 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n \\ &= -dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n \end{aligned}$$

thus,

$$\begin{aligned} w &= f dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n \quad (\text{with } f(v) < 0) \\ &= -f d\tilde{x}_1 \wedge d\tilde{x}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge d\tilde{x}_n \end{aligned}$$

Hence in (\tilde{x}) will have,

$$w_p\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_1}|_p, \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_2}|_p, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_n}|_p\right) = -f(p) > 0 \Rightarrow \text{type I.}$$

The Jacobian of $\tilde{x} \circ x^{-1}$ will be of the form

$$J_{\tilde{x} \circ x^{-1}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ \vdots & 0 & & \\ & & 1 & \dots \\ & & & \ddots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \det(J_{\tilde{x} \circ x^{-1}}) = -1 \neq 0 \therefore (U, \tilde{x}) \in \mathcal{A}_m.$$

$\left(\begin{array}{l} (U, \tilde{x}) \text{ is compatible with } (U, x) \\ \therefore \text{it is included in Maximized atlas } \mathcal{A}_m \end{array} \right)$

Thus it is clear \mathcal{A}_m^+ forms subatlas as there are type I. charts everywhere.

(2) The integral of $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined,

(5)

Defⁿ (5.7) Let M be a manifold with volume form ω and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a function which is continuous with compact support. Then

$$\int_M f = \int_M f \cdot \omega$$

Remark (5.8) If M is oriented by ω and $-M$ is M oriented by $-\omega$ then for $\alpha \in \Omega_c^n(M)$ (α with compact support on M)

$$-\int_M \alpha = \int_{-M} \alpha$$

Thus if $\varphi: N \rightarrow M$ is a diffeomorphism,

$$\int_M \alpha = \int_N \varepsilon \cdot \varphi^* \alpha$$

where ε is locally constant with value ± 1 according to whether φ locally preserves or reverses orientation

Claim: the integral of functions over an oriented manifold is independent of choice of orientation. Let f have compact support,

$$\int_M f = \int_{-M} f \cdot (-\omega) = - \int_{-M} f \cdot \omega = - \left(- \int_M f \cdot \omega \right) \equiv \int_M f$$

defⁿ of
frct. integration
on $(M, -\omega)$

Remark
5.8

defⁿ
of frct.
integration
on (M, ω)

Next we prove Remark 5.8,

(6)

(2) continued, proof of Remark 5.8, following [GD]

Since $\text{Supp}(\alpha)$ is compact \Rightarrow can cover with finitely many chart domains. Then using the partition of unity

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$$

where $\text{Supp}(\alpha_i) \subset U_i \leftarrow$ chart domain. Then the integral of a form which is non-zero on more than just one chart domain has a natural definition, we sum the contributions of each α_i together

$$\int_M \alpha = \sum_{i \in \text{Supp}(\alpha)} \int_{U_i} \alpha = \sum_k \int_{U_k} \alpha_k \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \alpha_i = 0 \quad i \neq k \\ \text{on } U_k \text{ by} \\ \text{defn of support} \end{array} \right)$$

prop. 5.5 explains all of this in more detail.

Note $\varphi: N \rightarrow M$ induces charts on N from those on M by the pull-back; $\varphi^* x_i = x_i \circ \varphi: N \rightarrow M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. On each chart domain U_i the diffeomorphism φ either preserves or reverses the orientation (if it did both then somewhere $\det(D\varphi) = 0$ hence φ fails to be diffeomorphism). Because M may be disconnected it is possible for φ to be somewhere orientation preserving and elsewhere reversing.

Thus we see (assuming $-\int_{U_k} \alpha_i = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(U_k)} \alpha_i \in \Omega_c^n(U_k)$)

$$\int_M \alpha = \int_N \varepsilon \cdot \varphi^* \alpha \quad \left(\underbrace{\text{dom}(x_k \circ \varphi)}_{\downarrow} \right)$$

where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ over the chart domains $\varphi^{-1}(U_k)$ on N .

It suffices to check $-\int_M \alpha = \int_M \alpha$ for $\text{Supp}(\alpha) \subset U = \text{dom}(x)$.

(2) Let $\text{supp}(\alpha) \subset U = \text{dom}(h)$ for $(U, h) \in \mathcal{Q}_m$

oriented by w . Now $h: U \rightarrow U' \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\int_M \alpha = \int_U \alpha : \text{ since } \text{supp}(\alpha) \subset U \text{ its zero on } M \text{ outside } U.$$

$$= \int_{U'} (h^{-1})^* \alpha : \text{ def}^{\circledast} \text{ of form-integration.}$$

$$= \int_{U'} a dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n : \text{ using } (X_i) \text{ for coordinates } \notin \text{parameters on } \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$= \int_{U'} a(x) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n$$

Now reverse orientation by transformation $\psi: U' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\psi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (-x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

Then it's clear $\psi \circ h$ gives orientation $-w$ on M if h gave w ,

$$\int_M \alpha = \int_{\psi U'} (\psi h)^{-1*} \alpha$$

$$= \int_{\psi U'} (\psi^{-1})^* (h^{-1})^* \alpha : \text{ prop. of pull-backs.}$$

$$= \int_{\psi U'} (\psi^{-1})^* [a \cdot dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n]$$

$$= \int_{\psi U'} (\psi^{-1})^* (a) (\psi^{-1})^* (dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{a is zero-form} \\ \text{and} \\ l^*(\alpha \wedge \beta) = (l^* \alpha) \wedge (l^* \beta) \end{array}$$

$$= \int_{\psi U'} (a \circ \psi^{-1}) \cdot (-dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n)$$

$$= - \int_{\psi U'} a(\psi^{-1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n$$

$$= - \int_{\psi U'} a(-x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n$$

$$= - \int_{U'} a(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \underbrace{|\det(D\psi^{-1})|}_{-1} du_1 \dots du_n = - \int_{U'} a(u) du_1 \dots du_n$$

(8)

(2) Concluding,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_M \alpha &= - \int_{U'} \alpha(u) du_1 du_2 \cdots du_n \\
 &= - \int_{U'} \alpha(x) dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n \quad : \text{relabeling dummy vars.} \\
 &= - \int_{U'} (h^{-1})^* \alpha \\
 &= - \int_U \alpha \\
 &= - \int_M \alpha
 \end{aligned}
 \quad \left. \right\} \text{just reversing earlier steps.}$$

Finally we pause to check that if h orients W
then ψh orients $-W$.

$$h = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$$

$$\psi h = (-y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$$

$$w\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right) > 0 \quad \text{by assumption}$$

$$w\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial -y_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right) = -w\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right) < 0$$

$\Rightarrow \psi h$ has orientation $-W$.

(3) Th^m(5.13)

Let G be a compact Lie group and $C(G)$ the real vector space of continuous funcs. on G . The invariant integral $f \mapsto \int f(g) dg$ is uniquely determined by

- (i.) It is linear, monotone and normalized ($\int 1 = 1$)
- (ii) Left invariance, $\int f \circ l_h = \int f$ for any $h \in G$

Proof: Suppose $f \mapsto \int f(h) dh$ denotes an integral with these properties (i), (ii)

Then let $f \mapsto \int f(g) dg$ be a particular right-invariant integral, so $\int f(gh) dg = \int f(g) dg$. We construct this right-inv. integral,

$$w_i = l_g^* w_i \quad \# \quad \bar{w}_i = r_g^* w_i \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$\Omega = w_1 \wedge w_2 \wedge \dots \wedge w_n$$

$$l_g^* \Omega = \Omega$$

$$\bar{\Omega} = \bar{w}_1 \wedge \bar{w}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{w}_n$$

$$r_g^* \bar{\Omega} = \Omega$$

this will construct a particular right-invariant integral, the particularity ultimately linked to the basis of $T_e^* G$ which is $\{(\bar{w}_1)_e, (\bar{w}_2)_e, \dots, (\bar{w}_n)_e\}$

these are then pulled-back all over the group to give the \bar{w}_i 's.

$$\int f(gh) dg = \int f \circ r_h = \int (f \circ r_h) \cdot \bar{\Omega} = \int r_h^* f \, r_h^* \bar{\Omega}$$

$$\hookrightarrow = \int r_h^* (f \bar{\Omega}) = \int f \bar{\Omega} = \int f(gh) dg$$

So we've constructed a particular right-invariant integral, indeed the "group is sufficiently symmetric" to guarantee its existence.

(3) Th^m (5.13) Continued

Consider $f: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ then define,

$$\int f(g, h) = \int \left(\int f(g, h) dg \right) Sh$$

Claim: $\int f(g, h) = \int \left(\int f(g, h) Sh \right) dg.$

Suppose $f(g, h) = \varphi(h) \psi(g)$ then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int f(g, h) &= \int \left(\int \varphi(h) \psi(g) dg \right) Sh \\ &= \int \varphi(h) \left(\int \psi(g) dg \right) Sh \\ &= \int \left(\int \psi(g) dg \right) \varphi(h) Sh \\ &= \int \psi(g) dg \int \varphi(h) Sh \\ &= \int \left(\int \varphi(h) Sh \right) \psi(g) dg \\ &= \int \left(\int \varphi(h) \psi(g) Sh \right) dg \end{aligned}$$

- To accomplish the calculation above we've used that $\int dg$ & $\int Sh$ give real number outputs, and we can "clearly" pull out functions which are independent of variable.
- Thus the Fubini -type Th^m holds for func. of g & h which are of the form $f(g, h) = \varphi(h) \psi(g).$

Why are the functions

$$f(g,h) = \varphi(g)\psi(h)$$

for some $\varphi, \psi \in C(G)$ dense in $C(G \times G)$

under the uniform convergence topology? This result apparently stems from Stone-Weierstrass Th^m.

Let's see how.

Th^m(2.7) Let Σ be compact, and use sup-norm on C^0 ,

(i.) Let $B \subset C^0(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$ be a subalgebra which contains

all real constants and separates points. Then B is dense in $C^0(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$

"separates points" means given $x_1, x_2 \in \Sigma$ which are distinct ($x_1 \neq x_2$) then $\exists f \in B$ such that $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$.

Let us consider a compact Lie group G . Then $G \times G$ is also compact. Then define

$$B = \{f \in C(G \times G) \mid f(g,h) = \varphi(g)\psi(h) \text{ for } \varphi, \psi \in C(G)\}$$

We seek to show B is a subalgebra separating points.

$C(G)$ is an algebra under point-wise multiplication and addition of functions. The functions are real-valued so the following observations are clear. Suppose $f_1, f_2 \in B$

$$\begin{aligned} (f_1 f_2)(g,h) &= \varphi_1(g)\psi_1(h)\varphi_2(g)\psi_2(h) \\ &= \varphi_1(g)\varphi_2(g)\psi_1(h)\psi_2(h) \\ &= (\varphi_1\varphi_2)(g)(\psi_1\psi_2)(h) \quad \therefore f_1 f_2 = (\varphi_1\varphi_2) \cdot (\psi_1\psi_2) \\ &\therefore f_1 f_2 \in B \end{aligned}$$

Remark: it seems B doesn't close under addition,

$$(\varphi_1\psi_1 + \varphi_2\psi_2)(g,h) = \varphi_1(g)\psi_1(h) + \varphi_2(g)\psi_2(h) \Rightarrow f_1 + f_2 \in \text{Span}(B)$$

We should make B the span of B defined above otherwise B not a linear space.

(3) (S.13) Need to show $B \subset C(G \times G, \mathbb{R})$ is dense. (12)

we saw B is subalgebra of $C(G \times G)$ now

we need to show B separates points in $G \times G$

Let $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in G \times G$ such that

$$(x_1, y_1) \neq (x_2, y_2)$$

we seek to find $f \in B$ such that $f(x_1, y_1) \neq f(x_2, y_2)$

To begin we divide into three cases,

I.) $x_1 = x_2$ but $y_1 \neq y_2$

II.) $x_1 \neq x_2$ but $y_1 = y_2$

III.) $x_1 \neq x_2$ and $y_1 \neq y_2$

In each case we will construct an appropriate $f(x, y)$.

I.) Let $f(x, y) = e(x) l_a(y)$ where $e(x) = e$
 $l_a(y) = a^y$
 $a \in G$

$$f(x_1, y_1) = e(x_1) l_a(y_1) = e a^{y_1} = a y_1$$

$$f(x_2, y_2) = e(x_2) l_a(y_2) = e a^{y_2} = a y_2$$

$$\text{then } y_1 \neq y_2 \Rightarrow a y_1 \neq a y_2 \therefore f(x_1, y_1) \neq f(x_2, y_2)$$

II.) Likewise $f(x, y) = l_a(x) e(y)$ then

$$x_1 \neq x_2 \Rightarrow a x_1 \neq a x_2 \Rightarrow f(x_1, y_1) \neq f(x_2, y_2)$$

III.) Either previous construction will work.

* Sadly I realize this argument is not the need one. Our functions go into \mathbb{R} not G so no constant map to $e = \text{id}_G$ or left multiplication by a is available. I can't see how to construct $f(x, y)$ correctly at this time, we'll assume its possible and go on,

(3) thoughts on 5.13 continued

$B = \{f(g, h) = \varphi(g)\psi(h) \mid g, h \in C(G)\} \subseteq C(G \times G)$
is dense in $C(G \times G)$ by Stone-Weierstrass.

This means that in each nbhd of $C(G \times G)$
there is at least one point from B contained.

Equivlently for any $f \in C(G \times G)$ \exists

$\{\varphi_n, \psi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset B$ such that

$$\varphi_n \psi_n \longrightarrow f \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow \iint \varphi_n \psi_n \longrightarrow \iint f$$

$$\Rightarrow \int f(g, h) = \iint f dg dh \stackrel{(*)}{=} \iint f gh dg dh \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{a little} \\ \text{detail} \\ \text{missing} \\ \text{here.} \end{array} \right\}$$

since (*) holds for each n .

(3) Proof of 5.13 continued

Let $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous consider $\tilde{f}(g, h) = f(gh)$,
clearly this is continuous on $G \times G$

(14)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int f(g) dg &= \int f(g) dg \int Sh : \text{(we scale } \bar{\Sigma} \text{ so that)} \\
&= \int (\int f(g) dg) Sh : \text{ } \int_G \bar{\Sigma} = 1. \\
&= \int (\int f(gh) dg) Sh : \text{ brought in real # into} \\
&\quad \text{integral over } Sh \text{ by right invariance.} \\
&= \int (\int \tilde{f}(g, h) dg) Sh \\
&= \int (\int \tilde{f}(g, h) Sh) dg : \text{ using Fubini result just proved} \\
&= \int (\int f(gh) Sh) dg \\
&= \int (\int f(h) Sh) dg : \text{ using left-invariance.} \\
&= \int f(h) Sh \int dg \\
&= \int f(h) Sh \quad \therefore \text{the arbitrary left-inv. int.} \\
&\quad \text{is equal to the particular right} \\
&\quad \text{invariant } \int f(g) dg. \\
&\quad \text{This proves uniqueness.}
\end{aligned}$$

Remark: the existence of a left-invariant integral

will be shown in problem (4) in almost the same way as we constructed $\int_G f(g) dg = \int_G f \cdot \bar{\Sigma}$ here.

(15)

4) Wish to prove

$$\int_G f(g) dg \stackrel{(i)}{=} \int f(hg) dg \stackrel{(ii)}{=} \int f(gh) dg \stackrel{(iii)}{=} \int f(g^{-1}) dg \stackrel{(iv)}{=} \int (f \circ \varphi)(g) dg$$

Proof of (i)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G f(hg) dg &= \int (f \circ l_h)(g) dg \\ &\equiv \int (f \circ l_h) \Omega \\ &= \int (f \circ l_h)(l_h^* \Omega) \quad] \text{ using left invariance} \\ &= \int (l_h^* \circ f)(l_h^* \Omega) \\ &= \int l_h^*(f \Omega) \\ &= \int f \Omega \\ &= \int f(g) dg \end{aligned}$$

using $\int_M \alpha = \int_N \varepsilon \cdot \varphi^* \alpha, (\varepsilon = \pm 1)$
 $\varphi: N \rightarrow M$ a diffeomorphism
in our case l_h is such a map.
 l_h is orientation preserving
over all of G

The proof of (ii), (iii), (iv) follow from an application of 5.13
note $f \mapsto \int f \circ \varphi$ is linear and monotone and normalized. If
we can show left-invariance $\int f \circ l_h \circ \varphi = \int f \circ \varphi$ we
may conclude this is the unique left-invariant integral
that is $\int f = \int f \circ \varphi$. Particular choices of φ
will yield the desired results.

(16)

Proof of (ii)

Let $\varphi(g) = R_h(g) = gh$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int (f \circ \ell_k \circ \varphi)(g) dg &= \int f(kgh) dg \\
 &= \int f(gh) dg \\
 &= \int (f \circ R_h)(g) dg \\
 &= \int f \circ \varphi \quad \therefore \int f \circ R_h = \int f \\
 &\quad \therefore \int f(gh) dg = \int f(g) dg \\
 &\quad \left(\text{and } \int f(g) dg = \int f(hg) dg \right) \\
 &\quad \text{in known}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof of (ii)

(17)

Consider $\varphi(g) = g^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int f \circ \varphi = \int f(hg^{-1}) dg &= \int f(g^{-1}h) dg, \quad \left(\text{since } \int (f \circ \text{dinv})(h'g) dg = \int (f \circ \text{dinv})(gh') dg \right) \\
 &= \int f((h^{-1}g)^{-1}) dg \quad (h^{-1}g)^{-1} = g^{-1}h \\
 &= \int (f \circ \text{dinv})(h^{-1}g) dg \\
 &= \int (f \circ \text{dinv} \circ l_{h^{-1}})(g) dg \quad] \text{ using left invariance} \\
 &= \int (f \circ \text{dinv})(g) dg \quad] \text{ of } \int f \circ \text{dinv}. \\
 &= \int f \circ \varphi \quad : \quad \int f \circ \varphi = \int f \circ \text{dinv} = \underline{\int f(g^{-1}) dg = \int f(g) dg}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof of (iv)

Let $\varphi: G \rightarrow G$ be an automorphism.

Let φ play the same role as φ in 5.13,

$$\int (f \circ l_h \circ \varphi)(g) dg = \int (f \circ l_h \circ \varphi \circ l_a)(g) dg \quad \text{by left-inv.}$$

Notice that

$$(l_h \circ \varphi \circ l_a)(x) = \varphi(x)$$

$$h \varphi(ax) = \varphi(x)$$

$$\varphi(ax) = h^{-1} \varphi(x)$$

$$ax = \varphi^{-1}(h^{-1} \varphi(x))$$

$$a = \varphi^{-1}(h^{-1} \varphi(x)) x^{-1} = \varphi^{-1}(h^{-1}) \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x)) x^{-1} = \varphi(h^{-1}) x x^{-1}$$

$$\therefore \underline{l_h \circ \varphi \circ l_{\varphi^{-1}(h^{-1})}} = \varphi$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } \int f \circ l_h \circ \varphi &= \int f \circ l_h \circ \varphi \circ l_{\varphi^{-1}(h^{-1})} \\ &= \int f \circ \varphi \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \underline{\underline{\int f(g) dg}} = \underline{\underline{\int (f \circ \varphi)(g) dg}}$$