

p 65 Def^b A representation of a Lie group G is a continuous mapping

$$(1.) \quad \lambda: G \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(V) \quad \text{a homomorphism.}$$

$$(2.) \quad \rho: G \times V \longrightarrow V \quad \text{with} \quad \rho(g_1, \rho(g_2, v)) = \rho(g_1 g_2, v) \\ \rho(e, v) = v$$

$$(3.) \quad g \cdot v = \rho(g, v)$$

$$\text{here (2.) \& (3.) are same and (1.) } g \cdot v = \rho(g, v) = \lambda(g)(v)$$

Remark: $\text{Aut } V$ is given standard topology (not Zariski).

- A matrix representation is a homomorphism $\lambda: G \longrightarrow \text{GL}(n)$, this is where the theory began later $\text{Aut}(V)$ or $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n) \cong \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Def^b A representation $\lambda: G \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$ is faithful iff $\ker \lambda = \{e\}$.

Every compact Lie group has a faithful representation. (Peter-Weyl \approx)

p 66 - 67 he defines convolutions, not on shortest path we go on.

p 67 Def^b A morphism between two representations V, W is a linear map $f: V \longrightarrow W$ such that

$$f \circ \lambda_g^V = \lambda_g^W \circ f$$

where λ_g^V, λ_g^W are rep. of G associated to V & W respectively.

- here one often says such f is equivariant or intertwining operator in other books. Also other notations,

$$f(\rho_V(g, v)) = \rho_W(g, f(v))$$

$$f(g \cdot v) = g \cdot f(v)$$

Def^b $\text{Hom}_G(V, W) = \text{set of morphisms from } V \text{ to } W$.

- We say $\rho_V \cong \rho_W$ or $V \cong W$ iff \exists a morphisms f, f^{-1} that are vector space isomorphisms of V & W .

- this all works for topological groups although Haar measure is hard to prove existence - cf.

When are matrix representations equivalent?

$$\alpha: G \longrightarrow \text{GL}(n)$$

$$\beta: G \longrightarrow \text{GL}(n)$$

$$\alpha \cong \beta$$

$$f(\beta(g)(x)) = \alpha(g)(f(x))$$

$$A_f \cdot \beta(g) \cdot x = \alpha(g) \cdot A_f \cdot x$$

$\beta(g) = A_f^{-1} \alpha(g) A_f$

$$\forall g$$

V complex vector space, assume V has a Hermitian inner product:

$$(x, y) \longmapsto \langle x, y \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ax + y, z \rangle &= a \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle \\ \langle x, ay + z \rangle &= \bar{a} \langle x, y \rangle + \langle x, z \rangle \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{conjugate} \\ \text{linear map} \\ \text{from} \\ V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \end{array} \right\}$$

Other properties,

$$\overline{\langle x, y \rangle} = \langle y, x \rangle$$

$$\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$$

$$\langle x, x \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$$

If G acts a Hermitian inner product space $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$
then the inner product is G -invariant if

$$\langle g \cdot x, g \cdot y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$$

for all $g \in G, x, y \in V$

Remark: most if not all of this works on Hilbert space, the finite dimensionality of V is non-essential.

Thⁿ/ If $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut } V$ is a rep. of a compact group and V is a Hermitian inner product space then V admits a G -invariant inner product

Remark: our take-home final is to develop this invariant integral to do it. Also consider M is orientable then $\exists \lambda^{\text{everywhere}}$ non-trivial volume form. On a Lie group G one can consider left invariant one-forms on G . A one-form on G is left invariant iff

$$\ell_g^* \alpha = \alpha$$

existence follows from left-translation of T_e^*G , simply define $\alpha_g \equiv \ell_g^* \alpha_e$. Take basis $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ of T_e^*G then

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_g^*(\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_n) &= (\ell_g^* \alpha_1) \wedge (\ell_g^* \alpha_2) \wedge \dots \wedge (\ell_g^* \alpha_n) \\ &= \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_n \end{aligned}$$

this is a left-invariant volume on the Lie group. Marsden or Loomis show how to extend integral of forms with compact support to more abstract cases. Also Varadarajan is good.

Proof: $\alpha(g)(v) = g \cdot v$

$$b(u, v) \equiv \int_G \langle g \cdot u, g \cdot v \rangle dg$$

$$\overline{b(u, v)} = \int_G \overline{\langle g \cdot u, g \cdot v \rangle} dg = \int_G \langle g \cdot v, g \cdot u \rangle dg = b(v, u)$$

b is conjugate linear since $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is conjugate linear and the group action is linear as well.

$$b(u, u) = \int_G \langle g \cdot u, g \cdot u \rangle dg$$

$\langle g \cdot u, g \cdot u \rangle > 0 \Rightarrow$ integral of positive is likewise positive as integral is over compact group.
 $u \neq 0$

Proof continued:

$$\begin{aligned}
 b(hu, hv) &= \int_G \langle ghu, ghv \rangle dg \\
 &= \int_G \langle gh u, gh v \rangle d(gh) \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{left invar.} \\ \Rightarrow \text{right inv.} \\ \text{on compact} \\ \text{Lie group.} \end{array} \right] \\
 &= \int_G \langle \bar{g} u, \bar{g} v \rangle d\bar{g} \\
 &= b(u, v)
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorems V, W representation spaces.

(i) χ_V is smooth

(ii) $V \cong W \Rightarrow \chi_V = \chi_W$

(iii) ~~$\chi_V(gx) = \chi_V(x)$~~

(iv) $\chi_{V \oplus W} = \chi_V + \chi_W$

(v) $\chi_V^*(g) = \chi_V(g^{-1})$

(vi) $\chi_{V \otimes W} = \chi_V \chi_W$

(vii) $\chi_{\bar{V}}(g) = \overline{\chi_V(g)} = \chi_V(g^{-1})$

(viii) $\chi_V(e) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V$

We know (p. 29)

If $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$ is a continuous homomorphism
then φ is smooth.

Proof

(i) ~~OP~~ If V is a rep. of G then

$g \mapsto {}^V M_j^i(g)$ is smooth.

$g \mapsto \sum_i {}^V M_j^i(g)$ is smooth.

i) $f: V \rightarrow W$ ^{isomorphism}

$$(I_g^w \circ f)(x) = g \cdot f(x)$$

$$= (gf)(x) \quad \cancel{f(x)}$$

$$= f(g \cdot x)$$

$$= (f \circ I_g^v)(x)$$

$$\chi_V(g) = \text{Tr}(I_g^v) = \text{Tr}(f^{-1} \circ I_g^w \circ f)$$

$$= \text{Tr}(I_g^w) = \chi_w(g)$$

v) $\chi_{V \oplus W}(g) = \text{Tr}(I_g^{V \oplus W})$

$$= \text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} I_g^V & 0 \\ 0 & I_g^W \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \text{Tr}(I_g^V) + \text{Tr}(I_g^W)$$

$$= \chi_V(g) + \chi_W(g)$$

(vii) Gauß on $V \otimes W$

$$g(\cancel{V \otimes W}) = (g \cdot V) \otimes (g \cdot W)$$

$$\int_g^{\text{new}} (V \otimes W) = \int_g^V (V) \otimes \int_g^W (W)$$

$\{v_i\}$ basis of V , $\{w_j\}$ basis of W
then

$$\int_g (V_i \otimes w_j) = \int_g^V (v_i) \otimes \int_g^W (w_j)$$

Recall $g \cdot v_i = M_i^k(g) v_i$

so

$$= \int_{M_i^k(g)}^V v_k \otimes \int_{M_j^l(g)}^W w_l$$

$$= \int_{M_i^k(g)}^V \int_{M_j^l(g)}^W (v_k \otimes w_l)$$

$X(g)$

$$\text{So now } \int_g^{\text{new}} (V \otimes W) = \int_{M_i^k(g)}^V \int_{M_j^l(g)}^W (v_k \otimes w_l)$$

$$= \text{Tr}(\int_{M_i^k(g)}^V) \text{Tr}(\int_{M_j^l(g)}^W)$$

$$= X_V(g) X_W(g)$$

G acts on V
 H acts on W

$G \times H$ acts on $V \otimes W$

$$(g, h) \cdot (v \otimes w) = (gv) \otimes (hw)$$

and $\chi_{V \otimes W}(g, h) = \chi_V(g) \cdot \chi_W(h)$

(V₁) $f: V^* \xrightarrow{v^*} V^*$

$$\begin{aligned} f_g(\alpha)(x) &= \alpha(g^{-1}x) \\ &= \alpha(f_{g^{-1}}^v x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow f_g^*(\alpha) = \alpha \circ f_{g^{-1}}^v = (f_{g^{-1}}^v)^*(\alpha)$$

recall $\text{Tr}(f) = \text{Tr}(f^*)$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{V^*}(f) &= \text{Tr}(f_g^*) = \text{Tr}((f_{g^{-1}}^v)^*) = \text{Tr}(f_{g^{-1}}^v) \\ &= \chi^v(g^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem G compact

$$(i) \int_G \chi_V(g) dg = \dim V^G$$

$$(ii) \langle \chi_W, \chi_V \rangle = \int_G \chi_W(g) \bar{\chi}_V(g) dg = \dim \text{Hom}_G(V, W)$$

(iii) If V and W are irreducible then

$$\int_G \chi_W \bar{\chi}_V = \begin{cases} 1 & V \cong W \\ 0 & V \not\cong W \end{cases}$$

Proof

Let $P: V \rightarrow V^G$ defined by ~~$\rho(g)$~~

$$(i) P(v) = \int_G (g v) dg \quad \text{or} \quad P = \int_G \rho_g v dg$$

P is projection onto fixed point set of V^G .

Assume $V = V^G \oplus (V^G)^{\perp}$ relative inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$

then

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} I_{V^G} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

~~I_{V^G} is the identity~~
 I_{V^G} is the id on V^G

Thus $\text{Tr}(P) = \dim V^G$

$$\Rightarrow \dim V^G = \text{Tr}(P) = \text{Tr}\left(\int_G \rho_g v dg\right)$$

$$= \int_G \text{Tr}(\rho_g v) dg = \int_G \chi_V(g) dg \quad \checkmark$$

Pick an orthonormal b.s. $\{v_i\}$

$$P(v_i) = \int_G (g \cdot v_i) dg = \int_G \cancel{M_i^j(g)} v_j dg$$

$$= \left(\int_G M_i^j(s) dg \right) v_j$$

\Rightarrow

$$P_i^j = \int_G M_i^j(g) dg$$

(ii)

$$\dim \text{Hom}_G(V, W) = \dim (\text{Hom}(V, W)^G)$$

$$= \int_G \chi_{\text{Hom}_G(V, W)} dg$$

$$= \int_G \chi_{V \otimes W}(\gamma) dg$$

$$= \int G \chi_V(g) \chi_W(g) dg = \int \chi_V(\gamma^{-1}) \chi_W(\gamma) dg$$

$$= \int \overline{\chi_V(\gamma)} \chi_W(\gamma) dg = \langle \chi_V, \chi_W \rangle$$

(iii) By Schur's Lemma

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_G(V, W) &= 0 & V \neq W \\ \text{Hom}_G(V, W) &\cong \mathbb{C} \text{id}_V & V \cong W \end{aligned}$$

21