

Friday late 10 minutes.

12/1/06.1

Th²/ Let V be rep of compact Lie group then
for $f \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$

$$\int_G (g \cdot f) dg = \frac{1}{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V} \text{Tr}(f) \text{id}_V \quad (\text{prop 4.2})$$

Def¹/ If V is a rep of G

$f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a representative fct, iff
 $\exists \varphi \in V^*$, $v \in V$ such that

$$f(g) = \varphi(g \cdot v)$$

$$\tau_{ij}(g) = v_j^*(g \cdot v_i)$$

defines such fcts.

Cor. V, G as before. for $\varphi \in V^*$, $v \in V$

$f \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$

$$(\text{prop. 4.4}) \quad \int_G \varphi(g(f(g^{-1}v))) dg = \left(\frac{1}{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V}\right) (\text{Tr} f) \varphi(v)$$

Let $v \in V$. fix $f \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$, $\beta = eV_v$

$$\beta: \text{Hom}(V, V) \rightarrow V \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{C}$$

$$\beta(h) = h(v)$$

$$\beta\left(\int_G (g \cdot f) dg\right) = \int_G \beta(g \cdot f) dg$$

$$\alpha\left[\beta\left(\int_G (g \cdot f) dg\right)\right] = (\alpha \cdot \beta)\left(\int_G (g \cdot f) dg\right) = \int_G (\alpha \cdot \beta)(g \cdot f) dg$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha \left[\beta \left(\int_G (g \cdot f) dg \right) \right] &= (\alpha \cdot \beta) \left(\int_G (g \cdot f) dg \right) \\
&= \int_G (\alpha \cdot \beta)(g \cdot f) dg \\
&= \int_G \alpha(\beta(g \cdot f)) dg \\
&= \alpha \left(\int_G \beta(g \cdot f) dg \right) \quad \forall \alpha \in V^*
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \beta \left(\int_G (g \cdot f) dg \right) = \int_G (g \cdot f)(v) dg$$

then use the Th^m that $\int_G (g \cdot f) dg = \frac{1}{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V} (\text{Tr } f) \text{id}_V$ to obtain that

$$\frac{1}{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V} (\text{Trace } f) \text{id}_V(v) = \int_G (g \cdot f)(v) dg$$

act on both sides by φ

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V} \text{Trace}(f) \varphi(v) &= \int_G \varphi((g \cdot f)(v)) dg \\
&= \int_G \varphi(g f(g^{-1}v)) dg
\end{aligned}$$

Thm/ Let V be an irred. rep. of a compact Lie group G . For $v, w \in V$

$$(i) \int_G \langle g f(g^{-1}v), w \rangle dg = \frac{1}{\dim_G V} (\text{Tr } f) \langle v, w \rangle$$

(ii) for $v, w, \alpha, \beta \in V$

$$\int_G \langle g^{-1}v, \alpha \rangle \langle g\beta, w \rangle dg = \frac{1}{\dim_G V} \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle \langle v, w \rangle$$

Proof: Use corollary. Choose $\varphi \in V^*$, $\varphi(x) = \langle x, w \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G \langle g f(g^{-1}v), w \rangle dg &= \int_G \varphi(g f(g^{-1}v)) dg \\ &= \frac{1}{\dim V} \text{Tr}(f) \varphi(v) \\ &= \frac{1}{\dim V} \text{Tr}(f) \langle v, w \rangle \quad \text{proves (i.)} \end{aligned}$$

if give next one will give hint that should choose

$$f(x) = \langle x, \alpha \rangle \beta$$

then use # i with f specialized this way.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G \langle g f(g^{-1}v), w \rangle dg &= \int_G \langle g \langle g^{-1}v, \alpha \rangle \beta, w \rangle dg \\ &= \frac{1}{|V|} \text{Tr}(f) \langle v, w \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Now $\langle g \langle g^{-1}v, \alpha \rangle \beta, w \rangle = \langle g^{-1}v, \alpha \rangle \langle g\beta, w \rangle$ so

$$\int_G \langle g^{-1}v, \alpha \rangle \langle g\beta, w \rangle dg = \frac{1}{|V|} \text{Tr}(f) \langle v, w \rangle$$

now just need $\text{Tr}(f) = \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle$
to finish



Proof continued

12/1/06.4

Let $\{v_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis

$$\begin{aligned} f(v_i) &= \langle v_i, \alpha \rangle \beta \\ &= \langle v_i, \sum_k \alpha^k v_k \rangle \sum_l \beta^l v_l \\ &= \sum_k \bar{\alpha}^k \langle v_i, v_k \rangle \sum_l \beta^l v_l \\ &= \sum_l \bar{\alpha}^i \beta^l v_l \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Trace}(f) &= \sum_i f(v_i) \cdot v_i \\ &= \sum_i \bar{\alpha}^i \beta^i \\ &= \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Th^m/ Let V irred. rep. of a compact Lie group. Then

$$\int_G \langle g^{-1}v, \alpha \rangle \langle g\beta, w \rangle dg = \frac{1}{\dim V} \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle \langle v, w \rangle$$

for $\alpha, \beta, v, w \in V$.

Th^m/ Let V, W be nonisomorphic irred. rep of G and $\langle, \rangle_V, \langle, \rangle_W$ G -invariant inner products on $V + W$.

$$\int_G \overline{\langle g\alpha, v \rangle_V} \langle g\beta, w \rangle_W dg = 0$$

$\forall \alpha, v \in V, \beta, w \in W$

Proof: fix $\alpha \in V$ and $\beta \in W$ and define

$$b(v, w) = \int_G \overline{\langle g\alpha, v \rangle_V} \langle g\beta, w \rangle_W dg$$

Notice that b is conjugate linear in W and linear in V .
moreover b is G -invariant.

$$\begin{aligned} b(hv, hw) &= \int_G \overline{\langle g\alpha, hv \rangle_V} \langle g\beta, hw \rangle_W dg \\ &= \int_G \overline{\langle h^{-1}g\alpha, v \rangle_V} \langle h^{-1}g\beta, w \rangle_W d(h^{-1}g) \\ &= \int_G \overline{\langle \bar{g}\alpha, v \rangle_V} \langle \bar{g}\beta, w \rangle_W d\bar{g} \\ &= b(v, w) \end{aligned}$$

Want to use Schur's Lemma, convert to Hom,

$$b: V \times \bar{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$\hat{b}: V \rightarrow \bar{W}^* \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{b}(v) \equiv b(v, \cdot) \\ \hat{b}(v)(w) \equiv b(v, w)$$

need to show $\hat{b}$ is a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{b}(hv)(w) &= b(hv, w) = b(v, h^{-1}w) = \hat{b}(v)(h^{-1}w) \\ &\therefore \hat{b}(hv) = h\hat{b}(v) \quad \forall v, \forall h \in G. \quad \equiv (h \cdot \hat{b})(w) \end{aligned}$$

this proves that

12/4/06.2

$\hat{b}: V \rightarrow \overline{W}^*$ is a morphism

Remark: book discusses $\overline{W}^*$ and the group action on it.

In fact $\overline{W}^* \cong W$ (G -morphism) thus

$\overline{W}^*$ is irreducible. Hence $\hat{b}$ is either zero

or an isomorphism. If $\hat{b}$ were isomorphism

$$V \cong \overline{W}^* \cong W \quad \therefore \rightarrow \leftarrow \text{ since } V \neq W$$

$$\therefore \underline{\hat{b} = 0}$$

continuous fcts of compact support.

Define an inner product on $C^0(G, \mathbb{C})$ by

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \int_G \varphi(g) \overline{\psi(g)} dg$$

$$L^2(G)$$

Let $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m$ and $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$

be orthonormal bases of V and W which are irrep of a compact Lie group G , relative to invariant inner products the orthonormality is taken $\langle, \rangle_w, \langle, \rangle_v$

Define then the matrix rep from V ,

$$(\rho_{ij}^V)(g) = \langle g v_j, v_i \rangle_V$$

$$g \cdot v_j = \sum_i \rho_{ij}^V(g) v_i$$

and same from W ,

$$(\rho_{ij}^W)(g) = \langle g w_j, w_i \rangle_W$$

$$(\rho_{kl}^W)(g) = \sum_h \rho_{lh}^W(g) \rho_{hk}^W(g)$$

continuing now applying the Th^m5 from 1.

12/4/06.3

$$\begin{aligned}\int_G \rho_{ij}^V(g) \overline{\rho_{kl}^W(g)} dg &= \int_G \langle g v_j, v_i \rangle_V \overline{\langle g w_l, w_k \rangle_W} dg \\ &= \int_G \overline{\langle g v_j, v_i \rangle_V} \langle g w_l, w_k \rangle_W dg \\ &= 0 \quad (V \neq W)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_G \rho_{ij}^V(g) \overline{\rho_{kl}^V(g)} dg &= \int_G \langle g v_j, v_i \rangle_V \overline{\langle g v_l, v_k \rangle_V} dg \\ &= \int_G \langle g v_j, v_i \rangle_V \overline{\langle g^{-1} g v_l, g^{-1} g v_k \rangle_V} dg \\ &= \int_G \langle g v_j, v_i \rangle_V \langle g^{-1} v_k, v_l \rangle_V dg \\ &= \frac{1}{\dim V} \cancel{\langle v_j, v_l \rangle_V \langle v_i, v_k \rangle_V} \\ &= \int_G \langle g^{-1} v_k, v_l \rangle_V \langle g v_j, v_i \rangle_V dg \\ &= \frac{1}{\dim V} \langle v_j, v_l \rangle_V \langle v_k, v_i \rangle_V \\ &= \frac{1}{\dim V} \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl}\end{aligned}$$

12/4/66.4

Def^t/ Let $\rho \rightarrow \rho_g$ be a representation of a compact Lie group G on $\text{Aut}(V)$.
The character of the representation is the fnc

$\chi_\rho: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\chi_\rho(g) = \text{trace}(\rho_g)$$

Comments on final book

p. 46. $\rho_g^* \alpha = \alpha$ left invariance.

$$\alpha_e \in T_e^* G, \quad \alpha_e: T_e G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$\uparrow$ propagates over group via pullback.

basis of $T_e^* G$ wedged gives n -form on $\Lambda^n(T_e G)$

$$\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_n \equiv \omega_e$$

then $\Omega_g = \rho_g^* \omega_e$ gives left invariant n -form on G

Now he defines how to integrate $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (later $\mathbb{C}$)
($f = u + iv$ and such)

$$\int_G f = \int_G (f \Omega)$$

$\int$ int. of n -form defined in book.

$$\int_G f(g) dg = \int_G f \Omega$$

he'll prove left-invariance.

$$\int_G 1 dg = \int_G \Omega = \mu \neq 0 \quad \text{then}$$

$$\hat{\Omega} = \frac{1}{\mu} \Omega \quad \text{gives} \quad \int_G 1 dg = 1$$

Left-invariance of integral

12/4/06.5

$$\int_G f(hg) dg = \int_G (f \circ l_h)(g) dg$$

$$= \int_G (f \circ l_h) \Omega$$

$$= \int_G (f \circ l_h) (l_h^* \Omega) \quad (d(l_h g))$$

$$= \int_G l_h^*(f) l_h^*(\Omega)$$

$$= \int_G l_h^*(f \Omega)$$

$$= \int_G f \Omega$$

$$= \int_G f(g) dg$$

by 5.8
can assume
 $\varepsilon = 1$

12/4/08. 6

$$\int_G (f \circ h \circ \varphi) dg = \int (f \circ \varphi) dg \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{has to show} \\ \text{this true for} \\ h, \Omega_h, \text{inv} = \varphi \end{array} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_G f(g) dg = \int_G f dg = \int (f \circ \varphi) dg$$

One Th^m left. The one on pg. 81

missed

12/6/06

Hannah Sech

12/9/06.1

12/8/06.1
actually

Th^m/ A representation space V (finite dim'l of compact Lie group) is determined by its character χ .

$$\text{Irr}(G, \mathbb{C}) = \{\text{all finite dim'l irred rep of compact Lie group}\}$$

isomorphism
of vect.
spaces

Proof: Recall that if V is irred. then

$V \cong W$ for some unique $W \in \text{Irr}(G, \mathbb{C})$.

A general finite dim'l rep. of G we know

$$V = \bigoplus_j n_j V_j \text{ (an irred.)}$$

where for each j , V_j is submodule of V

and n_j is the multiplicity of V_j . Thus

for $j \neq k$, $V_j \not\cong V_k$. It's actually

an orthogonal direct sum w.r.t. invariant inner-product

• This decomp is not unique however it is in $\text{Irr}(G, \mathbb{C})$ since we pick a representative of each $\cong$ class. So Moreover each

V_j is isomorphic to one and only one $W_j \in \text{Irr}(G, \mathbb{C})$

$$\text{and } n_j = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_G(V_j, V) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(W_j, V)$$

defn a while back
we showed this matches
intuitive idea of
multiplicity

$$V \cong \bigoplus_j n_j W_j$$

$$\langle \chi_V, \chi_{W_j} \rangle = \sum_k n_k \underbrace{\langle \chi_{W_k}, \chi_{W_j} \rangle}_{\delta_{kj}} = \sum_k n_k \delta_{kj} = n_j$$

Thus $W_j \in \text{Ir}_1(G, \mathbb{C})$ is a summand in the decomposition of V iff

$$\langle \chi_{W_j}, \chi_{V_n} \rangle = 1$$

and its multiplicity is $n_j = \langle \chi_V, \chi_{W_j} \rangle$

- The W 's are a particular choice so referring to them takes away from the ambiguity of the direct sum decomp. of the V_j 's.

Proposition: If V is a representation and

$\langle \chi_V, \chi_V \rangle = 1$ then V is irred.

Proof: Write $V = \bigoplus_j n_j V_j$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_V, \chi_V \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_n n_n \chi_{V_n}, \sum_l n_l \chi_{V_l} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k,l} n_k n_l \langle \chi_{V_k}, \chi_{V_l} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k,l} n_k n_l \delta_{kl} = \sum_j (n_j)^2 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Thus as $n_j \geq 0 \Rightarrow n_j = 0 \forall j$ except one value of j
 Say $j = j_0$ then $n_{j_0} = 1$ hence $V = V_{j_0}$ that
 is V is irred.

Prop:

If V is an irred. rep. of a Lie group G which is compact and W is an irred. rep. of a compact Lie group H then $V \otimes W$ is an irred. rep of $G \times H$. Every irred. rep of $G \times H$ is of this form. (will not prove last sentence, but has it in notes if interested.) in book

it doesn't detail actions it implies.

Proof:

$$\langle \chi_{V \otimes W}, \chi_{V \otimes W} \rangle \stackrel{?}{=} 1$$

$$= \int_{G \times H} \chi_{V \otimes W}(g, h) \overline{\chi_{V \otimes W}(g, h)} dg dh$$

$$= \int_{G \times H} \chi_V(g) \chi_W(h) \overline{\chi_V(g) \chi_W(h)} dg dh$$

$$\chi_{V \otimes W} = \chi_V \chi_W$$

$\uparrow \quad \uparrow$
 $G \text{ rep. } H \text{ rep.}$

$$= \int_{G \times H} \chi_V(g) \overline{\chi_V(g)} \chi_W(h) \overline{\chi_W(h)} dg dh$$

$$= \int_G \chi_V(g) \overline{\chi_V(g)} dg \int_H \chi_W(h) \overline{\chi_W(h)} dh$$

$$= \langle \chi_V, \chi_V \rangle \langle \chi_W, \chi_W \rangle$$

$$= (1)(1)$$

$$= (1).$$

G

$$C^0(G, \mathbb{K})$$

$$\|f\| = \sup_{x \in G} |f(x)|$$

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_G f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx$$

$$L^2(G)$$

$$\|f\| = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle}$$

 G - compact V - f.dim'l rep of G $\{e_i\}$ - orthonormal basis of V $\rho_{ij} : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{K} \quad \leftarrow$ we argued these are smooth before.

$$g \cdot e_i = \sum_j \rho_{ji}(g) e_j$$

$$\rho(\alpha \otimes v)(g) = \alpha(g \cdot v) \quad \forall \alpha \in V^*, v \in V, g \in G$$

this makes ρ a rep. fnct.the output is in
 $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$.

then on pg. 18 are the conclusions.

extend ρ linearly. Denote

$$\textcircled{1} \mathcal{S}(V) \equiv \text{im}(\rho) \subseteq C^0(G, \mathbb{C})$$

 $\mathcal{S}(V)$ is spanned by $\{\rho_{ij}\}$

$$\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{finite set of mono} \\ \text{special rep. fncts.} \end{array}$$

② $\Lambda_{ij}^V \perp \Lambda_{kl}^W$ for $V \neq W$

$S(V) \perp S(W)$

Now take $L_g, R_g : C^*(G, \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow C^*(G, \mathbb{K})$

$(R_g f)(x) = f(xg)$, $x \in G$

$(L_g f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$, $x \in G$

the reason for the L_g and R_g ~~are~~ is see ③

$R_g [s(\alpha \otimes v)] = s[\alpha \otimes (gv)]$

R_g acts on $S(V)$, it forms a submodule under the R_g action

$L_g [s(\alpha \otimes v)] = s((g\alpha) \otimes v)$

Then he extends ~~rep.~~ defⁿ of rep. fnct. to the "real" defⁿ (as opposed to baby version earlier)

see pg. ⑪⑨. A function $f \in C^*(G, \mathbb{K})$

is a representative fnct. if the following is finite dim'l,

$G \cdot_R f \equiv \left\langle \{ R_g f \mid g \in G \} \right\rangle_{\text{span } \mathbb{K}}$

Th^m on (20)

12/4/06.6

if $G_R \circ f$ is finite dim'l then likewise

$G_L \circ f = \langle \{L_g f \mid g \in G\} \rangle_{\mathbb{K}}$ is finite dim'l

$\mathcal{J}(G, \mathbb{K}) =$ set of all rep. fncts.

$\mathcal{J}(G, \mathbb{K})$ forms linear space.

if $f, g \in \mathcal{J}(G, \mathbb{K}) \Rightarrow f \in S(V), g \in S(W)$
 $\Rightarrow f+g \in S(V+W)$

same for products & conjugation. So you
can show $\mathcal{J}(G, \mathbb{K}) =$ direct sum of $S(V)$

pg. 129-130-131 (analysis needed for Peter Weyl Th^m)

$\mathcal{J}(G, \mathbb{K})$ dense in $C^0(G, \mathbb{K})$ sup norm
and dense in $L^2(G)$ (L^2 norm). This
says matrix rep dense -
or then. objects dense in Hilbert space

read p.g. 136-138 to see real Th^m.