

We consider mappings

$$\ell: G \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(V) \quad \text{where} \quad \ell_g: v \mapsto \rho(g, v), \quad \ell_g(x) = g \cdot x.$$

$$\rho: G \times V \longrightarrow V \quad \text{where} \quad g \cdot v = \rho(g, v)$$

Here V is said to be a G -module if it has a representation on it. We require ρ to be continuous, ℓ_g is the translation by g under the assumed group action. By definition ρ being an action implies

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{(i.) } \rho(e, v) = v & \text{(ii.) } \rho(g, \rho(h, v)) = \rho(gh, v) \quad : \text{ } \rho\text{-notation} \\ \text{(i.) } ev = v & \text{(ii.) } (gh)v = g(hv) \quad : \text{ juxtaposition notation} \\ \text{(i.) } \ell_e = \text{id}_V & \text{(ii.) } \ell_g \circ \ell_h = \ell_{gh} \quad : \text{ sometimes drop " " in } g \cdot v. \end{array}$$

Defⁿ/ A matrix representation of G is a continuous homomorphism $\ell: G \longrightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Remark: upon a choice of basis for $V \exists$ an obvious identification of $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ with $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$; $L \rightarrow [L]$.

Defⁿ/ A morphism $f: V \longrightarrow W$ between representations is a linear map which is equivariant,

$$f(gv) = gf(v)$$

$\forall g \in G$ and $v \in V$. The set of all such morphisms of G -modules is denoted $\text{Hom}_G(V, W)$.

Remark: G -morphism might be better.

Defⁿ/ If $U \subseteq V$ is a subspace of V then it is said to be a submodule iff $g \cdot u \in U \quad \forall g \in G$ and $u \in U$.

$$\ell_g^U \equiv \ell_g|_U \quad (\text{subrepresentation})$$

a nonzero rep V is irreducible iff V has no submodule except $\{0\}$ and V .

(2)

Def: If V is a complex G -module, an Hermitian inner product $V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $(u, v) \mapsto \langle u, v \rangle$ is called G -invariant if $\langle gu, gv \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle \quad \forall g \in G, u, v \in V$. A rep. together with a G -invariant inner product is called a unitary representation.

Remark: If we choose an $\langle, \rangle$ -orthonormal basis for V a unitary rep. then the associated matrix rep. is a homo $G \rightarrow U(n)$.

• Missing 11/15/06 notes! (defined Hom_G and did Th^m 1.7, Prop. 1.9)

Th^m (1.7) If V is a rep of compact Lie group G then V has a G -inv inner product

Proof: Let $b: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an inner product on finite dim'l V , we know from linear algebra such a product exists. We use the invariant integral to construct a G -inv. inner product C

$$C(u, v) = \int_G b(gu, gv) dg$$

linearity and conjugate linearity follows from the same for b plus the linearity of the invariant integral. Furthermore the integral is monotone $\Rightarrow C(u, v) \geq 0 \quad \forall u, v \in V$. Check G -inv., let $h \in G$

$$C(hu, hv) = \int_G b(hgu, hgv) dg$$

$$= \int_G f(hg) dg \quad f(hg) = b(hgu, hgv)$$

$$= \int_G (f \circ l_h)$$

$$= \int_G f(g) dg$$

$$= \int b(gu, gv) dg$$

$$= C(u, v).$$

$$\therefore C: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is a G -invariant inner-product. //

Proposition (1.9) Let G be a compact Lie group. If V is a submodule of the G -module U , then $\exists$ a complementary submodule W such that $U = V \oplus W$. Each G -module is a direct sum of irred. submodules.

(3)

11/17/06.1

Proof: By Th^m (1.7) $\exists \langle, \rangle: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\langle gu, gv \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle$, that is a G -invariant inner product $\langle, \rangle$. Define

$$W = V^\perp \equiv \{w \in U \mid \langle w, v \rangle = 0 \quad \forall v \in V\}$$

we can show $V^\perp$ is a subspace. Let's verify that W is a G -module hence a submodule of U , let $w \in W$ and $g \in G$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g \cdot w, v \rangle &= \langle g^{-1} \cdot gw, g^{-1}v \rangle \\ &= \langle w, g^{-1}v \rangle \quad \text{but } g^{-1}v \in V \text{ since } G \cdot V = V. \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

therefore $g \cdot w \in W$ hence $g \cdot W \subset W$.

Now we argue each G -module is a direct sum of irred. submodules. Let $n = \dim(U)$. If $n=1$ then $U=V$. Assume that every G -module of $\dim < n$ is a direct sum of irred reps. Suppose $\dim(U) = n$. If U is irred. then we're done. If U is reducible then $\exists V \subset U$ with $G \cdot V \subset V$ so $U = V \oplus V^\perp$ and by assumption $\dim V \geq 1$ hence as $n = \dim(U) = \dim(V) + \dim(V^\perp)$ it follows

$$\dim(V^\perp) = n - \dim(V) \leq n-1$$

$\therefore V^\perp$ is irred. $\Rightarrow U = V \oplus V^\perp$ is irred. direct sum decomposition.

Th^m(1.10) Let G be any group and $V \neq W$ irred. modules. Then

(4)

(i.) a morphism $f: V \rightarrow W$ is zero or an isomorphism.

(ii.) Every morphism $f: V \rightarrow V$ has the form $f = \lambda \text{id}_V$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

(iii.) If $V \cong W$ then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Hom}_G(V, W)) = 1$. If $V \not\cong W$ then $\dim(\text{Hom}_G(V, W)) = 0$.

Proof: (i) Let $f: V \rightarrow W$ be a linear map with $f(gv) = g f(v) \quad \forall g \in G$ and $v \in V$. Observe that $\ker(f)$ is a submodule of V . Clearly it's a subspace. Then G -inv. follows quickly. Let $v \in \ker(f)$ and $g \in G$,

$$f(gv) = g f(v) = 0 \quad \therefore gv \in \ker f.$$

But since V is irred $\Rightarrow \ker f = \{0\}$ or $\ker f = V$. If $\ker f = V$ then $f = 0$. If $\ker f = \{0\}$ then f is injective. Next observe $\text{Im } f$ is a submodule of W , clearly it's a subspace and $f(v) \in \text{Im}(f)$ has,

$$g \cdot f(v) = f(gv) \in \text{Im}(f) \quad \therefore G \cdot \text{Im}(f) \subset \text{Im } f.$$

As W is irred and $f \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{Im}(f) = W$. $\therefore f$ isomorphism.

(ii) If $f = 0$ then $f(x) = 0 \cdot x = \lambda \cdot \text{Id}_V(x) \quad \lambda = 0$.

If $f \neq 0$ then $\exists \lambda \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $x \neq 0$ such that $f(x) = \lambda x$. Consider then, $W_\lambda \equiv \{y \in V / f(y) = \lambda y\}$

Let $y \in W_\lambda$ and $g \in G$

$$f(g \cdot y) = g \cdot f(y) = g \lambda y = \lambda(gy) \quad \therefore gy \in W_\lambda.$$

Hence W_λ is submodule of V which is nontrivial ($x \neq 0$) thus $W_\lambda = V$ (by irred.) $\therefore f(v) = \lambda v = \lambda \text{id}_V(v) \quad \forall v \in V$.

(iii) • Suppose $V \cong W$ then $f: V \rightarrow W \cong V \rightarrow V$ thus $f = \lambda \text{id}_V \quad \therefore \text{Hom}_G(V, V) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\text{id}_V\}$.

• Suppose $V \not\cong W \Rightarrow f = 0$: by (i) since otherwise $f: V \rightarrow W$ would be a G -isomorphism giving $V \cong W \rightarrow \times$

Remark 1.12: If $V \neq W$ are G -modules then $V \oplus W$ is a G -module w.r.t. $g \cdot (v, w) = (g \cdot v, g \cdot w)$. This is easily seen to provide group action on $V \oplus W$,

$$e \cdot (v, w) = (e \cdot v, e \cdot w) = (v, w)$$

$$g \cdot (h \cdot (v, w)) = g \cdot (hv, hw) = (ghv, ghw) = gh \cdot (v, w).$$

linearity also follows, $\lambda_g(v, w) = (\lambda_g v, \lambda_g w)$.

$$\lambda_g^V(v) = A(g)[v]$$

$$\lambda_g^W(w) = B(g)[w]$$

$$\lambda_g^{V \oplus W}(v+w) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & 0 \\ \hline 0 & B \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} [v] \\ [w] \end{pmatrix}$$

Proposition 1.13 An irred. rep. of an Abelian Lie group is one-dim'l

Proof: If $g \in G$ then $\lambda_g: V \rightarrow V$ is a morphism in this case,

$$\lambda_g(hx) = ghx = hg x = h \lambda_g(x)$$

But by Schur's Lemma $\lambda_g = \lambda_g \text{id}_V$. Consider then that any nonzero subspace will be a submodule, $S \triangleleft V$,

$$g \cdot S' = \lambda_g(S') = \lambda_g \text{id}_V(S') = \lambda_g S' \subseteq S'$$

But since V is irred there can only be V as a nonzero submodule $\therefore V$ must be one dim'l. (If it were higher dim'l then take any basis vector form span then that will be submodule in $\rightarrow \leftarrow$ to irred. of V).

Remark $\lambda_{gh}(x) = \lambda_{gh} \text{id}_V$

$$\lambda_g \cdot \lambda_h = \lambda(g)\lambda(h) \text{id}_V \Rightarrow \lambda(gh) = \lambda(g)\lambda(h)$$

Thus $\text{Hom}(G \rightarrow \mathbb{C})$ well really $\text{Hom}(G, S')$

S' follows from unitarity.

Remark (1) $\text{Hom}(V, W) \cong V^* \otimes W$

6

Define $\Theta : V^* \otimes W \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(V, W)$ by

$$\Theta(V^* \otimes W)(u) \equiv V^*(u)W$$

Choose a basis $\{V_i\}$ of V and $\{W_j\}$ of W .

Then for $f \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ we calculate

$$f(V_i) = \sum_j \alpha_{ji} W_j$$

then consider,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta\left(\sum_{i,k} \alpha_{ik} V_k^* \otimes W_i\right)(u) &= \sum_{i,k} \alpha_{ik} \Theta(V_k^* \otimes W_i)(u) \\ &= \sum_{i,k} \alpha_{ik} V_k^*(u) W_i \end{aligned}$$

Then suppose $u = V_\ell$ and thus $V_k^*(V_\ell) = \delta_{k\ell}$ yielding

$$\Theta\left(\sum_{i,k} \alpha_{ik} V_k^* \otimes W_i\right)(V_\ell) = \sum_i \alpha_{i\ell} W_i = f(V_\ell).$$

Thus proving $f = \Theta\left(\sum_{i,k} \alpha_{ik} V_k^* \otimes W_i\right)$ (*)

for any $f \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ this gives the way to write f in terms of its matrix (α_{ji}) and the $V^* \otimes W \cong \text{Hom}(V, W)$.

Remark (2) $\Theta : V^* \otimes W \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_G(V, W)$ is a G -morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(g \cdot (V^* \otimes W))(u) &= \Theta((g \cdot V^*) \otimes (g \cdot W))(u) \\ &= (g \cdot V^*)(u) g \cdot W \\ &= V^*(g^{-1}u) g \cdot W \\ &= g \cdot [V^*(g^{-1}u) W] \\ &= g \cdot \Theta(V^* \otimes W)(g^{-1}u) \\ &= [g \cdot \Theta(V^* \otimes W)](u). \end{aligned}$$

Since $G \cdot \text{Hom}(V, W)$ works by $(g \cdot f)(u) = g \cdot f(g^{-1}u)$.

Remark (3): $\text{Hom}(V, V) \cong V^* \otimes V$

(7)

Defⁿ/ The TRACE MAPPING $\text{Tr}: V^* \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ via $\text{Tr}(V^* \otimes w) = V^*(w)$

Remark: the usual idea of Trace is hidden here. Choose basis $\{V_i\}$ of V and $\equiv$ dual basis $\{V_k^*\}$ of V^* . But first use Θ to map over to $\text{Hom}(V, V)$. Let $f \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr}(f) &= \text{Tr}(\Theta^{-1}(f)) \\ &= \text{Tr}\left(\sum_{i,k} R_{ik} V_k^* \otimes V_i\right) \text{ using } (*) \text{ on } (6). \\ &= \sum_{i,k} R_{ik} V_k^*(V_i) \\ &= \sum_i R_{ii} = \text{Trace}(f), \quad f(V_i) = \sum_j R_{ji} V_j\end{aligned}$$

Defⁿ/ The fixed point set of a representation V of Lie group G is $V^G = \{v \in V \mid g \cdot v = v \quad \forall g \in G\}$

Defⁿ/ Integration of W -valued functions of G . Suppose $f: G \rightarrow W$ with $f \in C^0(G, W)$. Then $\exists!$ vector which we denote

$$\int_G f(g) dg \in W$$

such that $\forall \alpha \in W^*$

$$\alpha\left(\int_G f(g) dg\right) = \int_G (\alpha \circ f)(g) dg$$

where on the RHS $\alpha \circ f: G \xrightarrow{f} W \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{C}$ and $\int dg$ is the left-invariant integral on G for $\mathbb{C}$ -valued fncs.

Moreover, in terms of the corresponding innerproduct on W , $\alpha(x) = \langle x, \cdot \rangle$

$$\alpha\left(\int_G f(g) dg\right)(w) = \left\langle \int_G f(g) dg, w \right\rangle = \int_G \langle f(g), w \rangle dg$$

Continuing to discuss W -valued fct. integration over G

(8)

We define a projection P onto V^G as follows

$$P(V) = \int_G (g \cdot v) dg$$

Consider then $h \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} h \cdot P(v) &= h \cdot \int_G (g \cdot v) dg \\ &= \int h \cdot (g \cdot v) dg \\ &= \int (hg) \cdot v dg \\ &= \int (hg) \cdot v d(hg) \\ &= \int \bar{g} \cdot v d\bar{g} \\ &= P(v). \end{aligned}$$

technically, it seems this is a bit bogus w/o some appeal to running these facts through a $\alpha \in V^*$ to borrow from $\int_G : C(G, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Comments: there are two main ways to view V -valued integration,

(i) $\langle \int_G f(g) dg, w \rangle = \int_G \langle f(g), w \rangle dg$

(ii) trace ? But $\text{Tr} : V^* \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (not $V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$).

Continuing our discussion of $P(V) = \int_G (g \cdot v) dg$. Claim $P(V) \subset V^G$.
Let $v \in V$ and $h \in G$ consider

$$\langle h P(v), w \rangle = \langle h \int (g \cdot v) dg, w \rangle$$

$$= \langle \int hg \cdot v dg, w \rangle$$

$$= \langle \int g \cdot v dg, h^{-1} w \rangle$$

$$= \int \langle g \cdot v, h^{-1} w \rangle dg$$

$$= \int \langle hg v, w \rangle dg$$

$$= \int \langle g v, w \rangle dg$$

$$= \langle \int_G g \cdot v dg, w \rangle = \langle P(v), w \rangle \quad \forall w.$$

$$\therefore h P(v) = P(v).$$

⑨
Showing $P(v) = \int g \cdot v \, dg$ is a projection
 Let $v \in V^G$ so $g \cdot v = v \quad \forall g \in G$. Let $w \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P(v), w \rangle &= \langle \int_G (g \cdot v) \, dg, w \rangle \\ &= \int_G \langle g \cdot v, w \rangle \, dg \\ &= \int_G \langle v, w \rangle \, dg \\ &= \langle v, w \rangle \int_G dg = \langle v, w \rangle \Rightarrow P(v) = v \end{aligned}$$

Thus $P|_{V^G} = \text{id}_{V^G}$.

(Remark: V^G is submodule $\Rightarrow V = V^G \oplus (V^G)^\perp$)

Proposition: $[\text{Hom}(V, V)]^G = \text{Hom}_G(V, V)$

Proof: $\text{Hom}(V, V)$ has action as follows $f \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$ where

$$(g \cdot f)(x) = g \cdot f(g^{-1}x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f \in [\text{Hom}(V, V)]^G &\Leftrightarrow g \cdot f(g^{-1}x) = f(x) \quad \forall x \in V, \forall g \in G \\ &\Leftrightarrow g^{-1} f(x) = f(g^{-1}x) \quad \forall x \in V, \forall g \in G \\ &\Leftrightarrow g f(x) = f(gx) \quad \forall x \in V, \forall g \in G \\ &\Leftrightarrow f \in \text{Hom}_G(V, V). \end{aligned}$$

Th^m (4.2) Let V be an irrep. of a compact Lie group G
then $\forall f \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$

(10)

11/29/06.3

$$\int_G (g \cdot f) dg = \frac{1}{\dim V} \text{Trace}(f) \text{id}_V$$

Proof: For $f \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$ note $P(f) = \int_G (g \cdot f) dg \in \text{Hom}_G(V, V)$
thus $P(f): V \rightarrow V$ is a morphism & by Schur's Lemma

$$P(f) = \lambda_f \text{id}_V \quad \text{for some } \lambda_f \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Observe that,

$$(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V) \lambda_f = \text{Tr}(\lambda_f \text{id}_V)$$

$$= \text{Tr}(P(f))$$

$$= \text{Tr}\left(\int_G (g \cdot f) dg\right) \quad g \cdot f \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$$

$$= \int_G \text{Tr}(g \cdot f) dg \quad \text{Tr}(g \cdot f) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

$$= \int_G \text{Tr}(g \circ f \circ g^{-1}) dg$$

$$= \int_G \text{Tr}(g \circ f \circ g^{-1}) dg$$

$$= \int_G \text{Tr}(f) dg$$

$$= \text{Tr}(f) \int_G dg = \text{Tr}(f)$$

$$\lambda_f = \frac{\text{Tr}(f)}{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V}$$

$$\therefore P(f) = \int_G (g \cdot f) dg = \frac{\text{Tr}(f)}{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V} \text{id}_V$$

Representative Functions

(11)

Notice if a representation space V has basis $\{V_i\}$ of a Lie group G then we have a corresp. matrix rep.

$$g \longrightarrow (\rho_{ij}(g))$$

from G into $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$g \cdot V_j = \sum_i \rho_{ij}(g) V_i$$

Then it follows,

$$\boxed{\rho_{ij}(g) = V_i^*(g \cdot V_j)}$$

Defⁿ/ A function $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ relative to a representation space V of a Lie group G into $\mathbb{C}$ is called a representative function iff $\exists \varphi \in V^*, v \in V$ such that

$$f(g) = \varphi(g \cdot v) \quad \forall g \in G.$$

Remark: defining $\rho_{ij}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $g \cdot V_j = \sum_i \rho_{ij}(g) V_i$ we again notice,

$$\rho_{ij}(g) = V_i^*(g \cdot V_j)$$

so here $\varphi = V_i^*$ and $v = V_j$ in the Defⁿ above.

This shows that ρ_{ij} is a representative fct.

- Need to compare this discussion with p. 124-125.
(this may be "baby version?")

Proposition 4.4: Let G be rep. by V and take $\varphi \in V^*$, $v \in V$, $f \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$

$$\int_G \varphi(g(f(g^{-1}v))) dg = \frac{\text{Tr}(f)}{\dim V} \varphi(v)$$

Proof: Use (4.2) $\int (g \cdot f) dg = \frac{\text{Tr}(f)}{\dim V} \text{id}_V$. Evaluate on V

$$\int (g \cdot f)(v) dg = \frac{\text{Tr}(f)}{\dim V} v$$

Eval. 4.2 at V . DR. FULP's
Proof Elaborated
on this
point.

Act by φ ,

$$\varphi\left(\int (g \cdot f)(v) dg\right) = \varphi\left(\frac{\text{Tr}(f)}{\dim V} v\right)$$

$$\int \varphi((g \cdot f)(v)) dg = \frac{\text{Tr}(f)}{\dim V} \varphi(v)$$

linearity of $\varphi \in V^*$

$$\int \varphi(g \cdot f(g^{-1}v)) dg = \frac{\text{Tr}(f)}{\dim V} \varphi(v) //$$

$$(g \cdot f)(x) \equiv g \cdot f(g^{-1}x).$$

DR. FULP's PROOF:

$$\beta: \text{Hom}(V, V) \longrightarrow V \quad \beta(f) \equiv f(v), \quad \beta = \text{eval}_v.$$

$$\beta\left(\int (g \cdot f) dg\right) = \int \beta(g \cdot f) dg$$

Now act by α ,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\left[\beta\left(\int (g \cdot f) dg\right)\right] &= (\alpha \circ \beta)\left(\int_G (g \cdot f) dg\right) = \int_G (\alpha \circ \beta)(g \cdot f) dg. \\ &= \alpha\left(\int_G \beta(g \cdot f) dg\right) \quad \forall \alpha \in V^* \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$\left(\int (g \cdot f) dg\right)(v) = \int_G (g \cdot f)(v) dg.$$

We just verified it by comparing components via dual vector evaluation.

Th^m (4.5) Let V be an irrep of G . For $v, w, \alpha, \beta \in V$,

$$(i.) \int_G \langle g f(g^{-1}v), w \rangle dg = \frac{\text{Tr}(f)}{\dim V} \langle v, w \rangle$$

$$(ii) \int_G \langle g^{-1}v, \alpha \rangle \langle g\beta, w \rangle dg = \frac{1}{\dim V} \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle \langle v, w \rangle$$

Proof: We know $\int_G \varphi(g(f(g^{-1}v))) dg = \frac{\text{Tr}(f)}{\dim V} \varphi(v)$.

It appears $\varphi(v) = \langle v, w \rangle$ may work

$$\begin{aligned} \int \varphi(g(f(g^{-1}v))) dg &= \int \langle g(f(g^{-1}v)), w \rangle dg \\ &= \frac{\text{Tr}(f)}{\dim V} \varphi(v) = \frac{\text{Tr}(f)}{\dim V} \langle v, w \rangle // \text{ on (i.)} \end{aligned}$$

Next consider $f(x) = \langle x, \alpha \rangle \beta$ (trick.)

$$\begin{aligned} \int \langle g(f(g^{-1}v)), w \rangle dg &= \int \langle g \cdot \langle g^{-1}v, \alpha \rangle \beta, w \rangle dg \\ &= \int \langle \langle g^{-1}v, \alpha \rangle \beta, g^{-1}w \rangle dg \\ &= \int \langle g^{-1}v, \alpha \rangle \langle \beta, g^{-1}w \rangle dg \\ &= \int \langle g^{-1}v, \alpha \rangle \langle g\beta, w \rangle dg \quad \text{LHS (ii)} \\ &= \frac{\text{Tr}(f)}{\dim V} \langle v, w \rangle \quad \text{need } \text{Tr}(f) = \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $\langle, \rangle$ O.N. basis $\{v_i\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(f) &= \sum_i f(v_i) \cdot v_i \\ &= \sum_i \langle v_i, \alpha \rangle \beta \cdot v_i \\ &= \sum_i \alpha_k \underbrace{\langle v_i, v_k \rangle}_{\delta_{ik}} \beta_i \\ &= \sum_i \beta_k \alpha_k \\ &= \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Th^m (4.6) Let $V \neq W$ irreps of G with G -invariant inner products $\langle, \rangle_V$ and $\langle, \rangle_W$ on $V+W$,

(14)

$$\int_G \overline{\langle g\alpha, v \rangle_V} \langle g\beta, w \rangle_W dg = 0$$

$\forall \alpha, v \in V$ and $\beta, w \in W$.

Proof: We define a mapping $b(u, v)$ in hope of showing $b=0$

$$b(v, w) \equiv \int_G \overline{\langle g\alpha, v \rangle_V} \langle g\beta, w \rangle_W dg$$

This ~~b is an inner-product~~, notice $\underbrace{N_{\otimes}}_{\text{map.}} \text{ it's a weird } V \times \overline{W}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$b(cv, w) = c b(v, w) \quad \& \quad b(v, cw) = \bar{c} b(v, w).$$

I believe this is $b: (V+W) \times (V+W) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Notice $h \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} b(hv, hw) &= \int_G \overline{\langle g\alpha, hv \rangle} \langle g\beta, hw \rangle dg \\ &= \int_G \overline{\langle h^{-1}g\alpha, v \rangle} \langle h^{-1}g\beta, w \rangle dg \\ &= \int_G \overline{\langle g\alpha, v \rangle} \langle g\beta, w \rangle dg \quad \leftarrow \text{left-invariance.} \\ &= b(v, w). \end{aligned}$$

Restrict b to $V \times \overline{W}$ and define $\hat{b}: V \rightarrow \overline{W}^*$ by

$$\hat{b}(v) \equiv b(v, \cdot) \quad \text{aka} \quad \hat{b}(v)(w) \equiv b(v, w).$$

now we show $\hat{b}$ is a morphism,

$$\hat{b}(hv)(w) = b(hv, w) = b(v, h^{-1}w) = \hat{b}(v)(h^{-1}w) = (h \cdot \hat{b})(w).$$

Hence $\hat{b}(hv) = h \cdot \hat{b}(v)$. $\therefore \hat{b}$ is a morphism from

V to $\overline{W}^* \cong W$ (it can be shown) thus $\hat{b} = 0$

since $\hat{b}: V \rightarrow \overline{W}^* \cong W \neq V$ and since V, W irreps there are either isomorphisms or zero, $\hat{b}$ must be zero.

Then $\hat{b} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{b}(v)(w) = b(v, w) = 0 \quad \forall v, w$

Thus the Th^m follows.

Remark: Showing $\overline{W}^* \cong W$ would be nice.

Formulas 4.8 on matrix representations

(15)

Define inner product on $C^0(G, \mathbb{C})$ by

(Side Comment)

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \int_G \varphi(g) \overline{\psi(g)} dg$$

Then let $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$ and $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$ be orthonormal bases of V and W which are irreps of G (relative to $\langle, \rangle_V$ & $\langle, \rangle_W$) Define the matrix reps from V ,

$$g \cdot v_j = \sum_i \rho_{ij}^V(g) v_i$$

$$g \cdot w_j = \sum_i \rho_{ij}^W(g) w_i$$

That is to say

$$\rho_{ij}^V(g) = \langle g \cdot v_j, v_i \rangle_V \quad \& \quad \rho_{ij}^W(g) = \langle g \cdot w_j, w_i \rangle_W$$

The formulas 4.8 follow,

$$\int \overline{\rho_{kl}^V(g)} \rho_{ij}^V(g) dg = \int_G \langle g \cdot v_l, v_k \rangle \langle g \cdot v_j, v_i \rangle dg$$

$$= \int_G \langle v_k, g v_l \rangle \langle g v_j, v_i \rangle dg$$

$$= \int_G \langle g^{-1} v_k, v_l \rangle \langle g v_j, v_i \rangle dg$$

$$= \frac{1}{\dim V} \langle v_l, v_j \rangle \langle v_k, v_i \rangle$$

Thm (4.5)

$$= \frac{1}{\dim V} \delta_{lj} \delta_{ki}$$

Next for $V \neq W$

$$\int \overline{\rho_{kl}^W(g)} \rho_{ij}^V(g) dg = \int \langle g \cdot w_l, w_k \rangle \langle g \cdot v_j, v_i \rangle$$

$$= 0$$

by Thm (4.6)

$$\begin{array}{l} V \longrightarrow W \\ W \longrightarrow V \end{array}$$

same story though.

Characters of compact Lie group

(16)

Defⁿ/ Let $\rho \rightarrow \rho_g$ be a rep. of a compact Lie group G on $\text{Aut}(V)$. Then we define the character of the rep. is the fct $\chi_V : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\chi_V(g) = \text{trace}(\rho_g)$$

Th^m/ A rep. V of G is determined by its character χ upto isomorphism

$$\text{Irr}(G, \mathbb{C}) = \{ \text{all finite dim'l irrep. of } G \} / \text{isomorphisms of vector spaces.}$$

Proof: Recall if V is irred. then $V \cong W$ for some unique $W \in \text{Irr}(G, \mathbb{C})$. A general finite dim'l rep. of G we know

$$V = \bigoplus_j n_j V_j$$

where for each j we have V_j a submodule of V and $n_j = \text{multiplicity of } V_j$ & $j \neq k \Rightarrow V_j \neq V_k$.

This decomp. is not unique due to ordering, however

$\exists!$ thing in $\text{Irr}(G, \mathbb{C})$ matching V . Moreover

$$V_j \cong W_j \in \text{Irr}(G, \mathbb{C}) \text{ and } n_j = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Hom}_G(V_j, V)) \\ = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Hom}_G(W_j, V))$$

$$\therefore V \cong \bigoplus_j n_j W_j$$

Indeed and much more interestingly,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_V, \chi_{W_j} \rangle &= \int \chi_V(g) \overline{\chi_{W_j}(g)} dg \\ &= \sum_k n_k \int \chi_{W_k} \overline{\chi_{W_j}} \\ &= \sum_k n_k \delta_{kj} = n_j \end{aligned}$$