

LECTURE 23: CALCULUS OF MATRICES & DIAGONALIZATION ①

In this Lecture I'll introduce the calculus of matrix-valued functions of time, then discuss systems of ODEs briefly and explain how diagonalization helps solve such systems.

Defⁿ If $A: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ has component functions $A_{ij}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$ then we define

$\frac{dA}{dt}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ to be the function from $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with component functions $\frac{dA_{ij}}{dt}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. That is,

$$\left(\frac{dA}{dt}\right)_{ij} = \frac{dA_{ij}}{dt}$$

In other words, we differentiate a matrix component-wise. We can parse the derivative many ways,

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = \left[\frac{d}{dt}[\text{col}_1 A] \mid \dots \mid \frac{d}{dt}[\text{col}_n A] \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{d}{dt}[\text{row}_1(A)] \\ \vdots \\ \frac{d}{dt}[\text{row}_m(A)] \end{array} \right]$$

Calculus of matrices has to face non commutative structure of matrix multiplication.

$$\boxed{\text{E1}} \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t \\ t^2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t \\ t^2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t \\ t^2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1+t^3 & 3t \\ t^2+2t^2 & 4+t^3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2t & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \frac{d}{dt}(A^2) = \begin{bmatrix} 3t^2 & 3 \\ 6t & -3t^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A \frac{dA}{dt} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t \\ t^2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2t & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2t^2 & 1 \\ 4t & t^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{dA}{dt} A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2t & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t \\ t^2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} t^2 & 2 \\ 2t & 2t^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus we see $\frac{d}{dt}(A^2) \neq 2A \frac{dA}{dt}$, rather $\frac{d}{dt}(A^2) = A \frac{dA}{dt} + \frac{dA}{dt} A$.

PROPOSITION:

Let A, B be matrices of functions of t and suppose C is a constant and V is constant,

$$(1.) \frac{d}{dt}(A+B) = \frac{dA}{dt} + \frac{dB}{dt}$$

$$(2.) \frac{d}{dt}(AB) = \frac{dA}{dt}B + A\frac{dB}{dt}$$

$$(3.) \frac{d}{dt}(cA) = c\frac{dA}{dt} \text{ and } \frac{d}{dt}(AV) = \frac{dA}{dt}V$$

The proof of the above assertions follow from Calculus I,

$$(1.) \left(\frac{d}{dt}(A+B)\right)_{ij} = \frac{d}{dt}(A+B)_{ij} = \frac{d}{dt}(A_{ij}+B_{ij}) = \frac{dA_{ij}}{dt} + \frac{dB_{ij}}{dt} = \left(\frac{dA}{dt} + \frac{dB}{dt}\right)_{ij}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} (2.) \left(\frac{d}{dt}(AB)\right)_{ij} &= \frac{d}{dt}(AB)_{ij} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}\left(\sum_k A_{ik} B_{kj}\right) \\ &= \sum_k \frac{d}{dt}(A_{ik} B_{kj}) \\ &= \sum_k \left(\frac{dA}{dt}\right)_{ik} B_{kj} + \sum_k A_{ik} \left(\frac{dB}{dt}\right)_{kj} \\ &= \left(\frac{dA}{dt}B + A\frac{dB}{dt}\right)_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

Then (3.) follows from particular cases of (2.).

Defⁿ/ Given an $(n \times n)$ constant matrix A we say $\frac{dx}{dt} = Ax$ is a system of n first order Ordinary Differential Equations (ODE) with coefficient matrix A . A solution x is a function from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R}^n for which $\frac{dx}{dt} = Ax$.

$$\boxed{E2} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{dx_1}{dt} = x_1 - x_2 \\ \frac{dx_2}{dt} = -x_1 + x_2 \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \frac{dx}{dt} = Ax, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Here there is an easy trick to solve the system, try adding the differential eq^s,

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} + \frac{dx_2}{dt} = (x_1 - x_2) + (-x_1 + x_2) = 0$$

$$\therefore \frac{d}{dt}(x_1 + x_2) = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{x_1 + x_2 = C_1}{\therefore x_2 = C_1 - x_1}$$

~~Substituting $x_2 = C_1 - x_1$ into the first equation, we get:~~
 ~~$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = x_1 - (C_1 - x_1) = 2x_1 - C_1$~~
 ~~$\frac{dx_1}{dt} - 2x_1 = -C_1$~~
~~Using integrating factor e^{-2t} , we get:~~
 ~~$\frac{d}{dt}(x_1 e^{-2t}) = -C_1 e^{-2t}$~~
 ~~$x_1 e^{-2t} = \frac{C_1}{2} e^{-2t} + C_2$~~
 ~~$x_1 = \frac{C_1}{2} + C_2 e^{2t}$~~

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} - \frac{dx_2}{dt} = (x_1 - x_2) - (x_2 - x_1) = 2(x_1 - x_2)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}(x_1 - x_2) = 2(x_1 - x_2)$$

$$x_1 - x_2 = C_2 e^{2t}$$

$$x_1 + x_2 = C_1$$

$$\underline{(+)} \quad x_1 = \frac{1}{2}(C_1 + C_2 e^{2t})$$

$$\underline{(-)} \quad x_2 = \frac{1}{2}(C_1 - C_2 e^{2t})$$

$$\underline{x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{C_1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + C_2 e^{2t} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}}$$

Remark: $A \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

$$A \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

In Calculus II we saw $\frac{dy}{dt} = \lambda y$ has solution given by separation of variables

$$\int \frac{dy}{y} = \int \lambda dt \rightarrow \ln|y| = \lambda t + C \therefore |y| = e^C e^{\lambda t} \Rightarrow \underline{y = c_1 e^{\lambda t}}$$

Now we face a similar problem to solve $\frac{dx}{dt} = AX$ where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

PROPOSITION:

$$x = e^{\lambda t} u \text{ solves } \frac{dx}{dt} = AX \Rightarrow (A - \lambda I)u = 0.$$

Proof: Suppose $x = e^{\lambda t} u$ solves $\frac{dx}{dt} = AX$ then

$$\frac{d}{dt}(e^{\lambda t} u) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} u + e^{\lambda t} \frac{du}{dt} = A(e^{\lambda t} u)$$

$$\therefore \lambda u = Au \Rightarrow \underline{(A - \lambda I)u = 0} //$$

Def: If $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and there is a constant λ for which $(A - \lambda I)u = 0$ for some nonzero vector u then λ is an eigenvalue and u is an eigenvector with e-value λ for A .

PROPOSITION:

$\frac{dx}{dt} = AX$ has solution $x = e^{\lambda t} u$ with $u \neq 0$ if and only if $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$. In other words, eigenvalues are necessarily zeros of the characteristic equation $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$

Proof: $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0 \iff \text{Null}(A - \lambda I) \neq \{0\}$
 \iff there exists $u \neq 0$ s.t. $(A - \lambda I)u = 0$.

(5)

Defⁿ/ If $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\beta = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis of eigenvectors of A then β is an eigenbasis for A

Something very neat happens when we have an eigenbasis for the standard matrix of $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose $[T] = A$ and suppose

$$T(v_1) = \lambda_1 v_1, \quad T(v_2) = \lambda_2 v_2, \dots, \quad T(v_n) = \lambda_n v_n$$

Then, if $\beta = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ is basis,

$$\begin{aligned} [T]_{\beta, \beta} &= [[\lambda_1 v_1]_{\beta} \mid [\lambda_2 v_2]_{\beta} \mid \dots \mid [\lambda_n v_n]_{\beta}] \\ &= [\lambda_1 e_1 \mid \lambda_2 e_2 \mid \dots \mid \lambda_n e_n] = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \lambda_2 & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The matrix of T is diagonalized

Remark: $(A - \lambda I)v = 0 \iff Av = \lambda v \iff T(v) = \lambda v$
(different ways to look at e-vectors) for $[T] = A$

Recall that

$$[T]_{\beta, \beta} = [\beta]^{-1} [T] [\beta]$$

Th^m/ Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ then there exists invertible P for which $P^{-1}AP = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ iff $P = [v_1 \mid v_2 \mid \dots \mid v_n]$ where $\det(P) \neq 0$ and $Av_j = \lambda_j v_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. That is, A is diagonalizable iff A has an eigenbasis β for which $[\beta]^{-1}A[\beta] = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ are eigenvalues of A .

How DIAGONALIZATION MAKES SOLUTION EASY

6

Suppose $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $AV_1 = \lambda_1 V_1, \dots, AV_n = \lambda_n V_n$
where $\beta = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n\}$ is eigenbasis for A .

Let $y = [\beta]^{-1}x = \Phi_\beta(x)$ define eigencoordinates

for the system of ODEs $\frac{dx}{dt} = Ax$

Observe,

$$y = [\beta]^{-1}x \quad \text{then} \quad x = [\beta]y$$

$$\therefore \frac{d}{dt}([\beta]y) = A[\beta]y$$

$$\Rightarrow [\beta] \frac{dy}{dt} = A[\beta]y$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dy}{dt} = [\beta]^{-1}A[\beta]y$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dy}{dt} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)y$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dy_i}{dt} = \lambda_i y_i \quad \therefore \underline{y_i = c_i e^{\lambda_i t}}$$

$$\Rightarrow x = [\beta]y = [V_1 | V_2 | \dots | V_n] \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow x = y_1 V_1 + y_2 V_2 + \dots + y_n V_n$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{x = c_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} V_1 + c_2 e^{\lambda_2 t} V_2 + \dots + c_n e^{\lambda_n t} V_n}$$

Remark: IF we can find an eigenbasis for A then
the eqⁿ above gives general solⁿ for $\frac{dx}{dt} = Ax$.
(IF...)