

## LECTURE 31: MODULES OVER PID, FUNDAMENTAL TH<sup>MS</sup>

①

We now turn to study  $R$ -modules over a PID, this means we assume  $R$  is a commutative ring with no zero-divisors and every ideal is principal. Here  $1 \in R$ . I'll begin with a few general results before we get to the main event,

Def: The LEFT  $R$ -module  $M$  is a Noetherian  $R$ -module provided it satisfies the ACC on submodules. That is, whenever ascending chain condition  $M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq M_3 \subseteq \dots$  then  $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $M_k = M_m \quad \forall k \geq m$

Th<sup>m</sup> (1) T.F.A.E.

- (1.)  $M$  is Noetherian  $R$ -module
- (2.) every non-empty set of submodules contains a maximal element under inclusion
- (3.) every submodule of  $M$  is finitely generated.

Corollary (2)

If  $R$  is a PID then every nonempty set of ideals of  $R$  has a maximal element and  $R$  is a Noetherian ring.

Proposition (3)

Let  $R$  be an  $S$ -domain and  $M$  a free module over  $R$  of rank  $n < \infty$ . Then any  $(n+1)$ -elements are  $R$ -linearly dependent

(meaning, for any  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n+1} \in M$  there exist  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{n+1} \in R$ , not all zero s.t.  $r_1 y_1 + r_2 y_2 + \dots + r_{n+1} y_{n+1} = 0$ )

Proof: suppose  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n+1} \in M$ . If we extend  $R$  to its field of fractions then  $M$  defines a vector space of dimension  $n$  over  $F$  hence  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n+1}$  is linearly dependent so  $\exists a_1/b_1, a_2/b_2, \dots, a_{n+1}/b_{n+1} \in F = \{ \frac{a}{b} \mid a, b \in R, b \neq 0 \}$  for which

$$\left(\frac{a_1}{b_1}\right)y_1 + \left(\frac{a_2}{b_2}\right)y_2 + \dots + \left(\frac{a_{n+1}}{b_{n+1}}\right)y_{n+1} = 0$$

Multiply by  $b = b_1 b_2 \dots b_{n+1}$  and note  $\frac{a_j}{b_j} b = a_j b_1 \dots b_{j-1} b_{j+1} \dots b_{n+1} \in R$  and we have  $\hat{b}_j = \frac{a_j b}{b_j} \in R$  not all zero s.t.

$$\hat{b}_1 y_1 + \hat{b}_2 y_2 + \dots + \hat{b}_{n+1} y_{n+1} = 0$$

Remark: for a linearly dependent subset of an  $R$ -module it may or may not be possible to solve for one element as  $R$ -linear combo. of the remaining elements. Over a field we can solve for some vector as linear combo. of the others.

$M = R \oplus R \oplus \dots \oplus R$

(3)

Def<sup>n</sup>/ Let  $R$  be an integral domain and suppose  $M$  is any  $R$ -module then the torsion

$$\text{Tor}(M) = \{x \in M \mid rx = 0 \text{ for some nonzero } r \in R\}$$

A submodule of  $\text{Tor}(M)$  is a torsion submodule of  $M$  and  $\text{Tor}(M)$  is the union of all such submodules, its maximal. We should also think about the annihilator of a submodule  $N$  of  $M$ ,

$$\text{Def<sup>n</sup>/ } \text{Ann}(N) = \{r \in R \mid rn = 0 \forall n \in N\}$$

where  $N \subseteq M$  an  $R$ -module

The following proposition follows immediately from the def<sup>n</sup> of torsion submodule,

Proposition: If  $N$  is not a torsion submodule of  $M$  then  $\text{Ann}(N) = \{0\} = (0)$ .

You can show if submodules  $N, L$  of  $M$  have  $N \subseteq L$  then  $\text{Ann}(L) \subseteq \text{Ann}(N)$ . Hence for  $R$  a PID with  $N \subseteq L \subseteq M$  if  $\text{Ann}(N) = (a)$  and  $\text{Ann}(L) = (b)$  then  $a \mid b$

Proposition: "the annihilator of any  $x \in M$  divides  $\text{Ann}(M)$ ";  $\text{Ann}(M) \subseteq \text{Ann}(x)$   
(b) (a) then  $a \mid b$ .

(4)

**Def<sup>n</sup>** For any integral domain  $R$ , the rank of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is the maximum # of  $R$ -linearly independent elements of  $M$

In §12.1 Dummit & Foote's Th<sup>m</sup>(4) indicates that for any free  $R$ -module of rank  $(M) = m$ , if  $N \subseteq M$  is a submodule then  $\text{rank}(N) = n \leq m$  and for any there exists basis  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m$  for  $M$  s.t.  $a_1 y_1, \dots, a_n y_n$  is basis for  $N$  where  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are nonzero elements of  $R$  for which  $a_1 | a_2 | a_3 | \dots | a_n$  (means  $a_1 | a_2$  and  $a_2 | a_3$ , etc...)

**Th<sup>m</sup>(5)** (FUNDAMENTAL Th<sup>m</sup> for Classification of MODULES (over a PID, INVARIANT FACTOR FORM))

Let  $R$  be a PID and let  $M$  be finitely generated  $R$ -mod.

(1.) Then  $M$  is isomorphic to direct sum of finitely many cyclic modules. In particular,

$$M \cong R^r \oplus R/(a_1) \oplus R/(a_2) \oplus \dots \oplus R/(a_m) \quad (1.)$$

for some integer  $r \geq 0$  and nonzero  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in R$  which are not units in  $R$  and satisfy the divisibility relations

$$a_1 | a_2 | a_3 | \dots | a_m$$

(2.)  $M$  is torsion free iff  $M$  is free

(3.) In the decomposition in (1.),

$$\text{Tor}(M) \cong R/(a_1) \oplus R/(a_2) \oplus \dots \oplus R/(a_m).$$

In particular,  $M$  is a torsion module iff  $r = 0$  and in this case  $\text{ann}(M) = (a_m)$ .

## SPECIAL CASES

(5)

1.)  $M \cong R^r$  ( $M$  is free  $\text{rank}(M) = r \leftarrow \text{Betti\#}$ )  
then  $\text{Tor}(M) \cong 0$ . (torsion free)

2.)  $r = 0$  so  $M \cong R/(a_1) \oplus R/(a_2) \oplus \dots \oplus R/(a_m) = \text{Tor}(M)$   
Module  $M$  is pure torsion

[E1] Let  $V$  be finite dim'l vector space over  $F$   
then form  $F[x] = R$ -module  $V$  by the  
usual  $f(x)v = f(T)v$  action of  $F[x]$  on  $V$ .  
Suppose  $\text{rank}(V) = r > 0$ , is this reasonable?

$$V \cong R^r \oplus R/(a_1) \oplus \dots \oplus R/(a_m)$$

$\uparrow$   
 $R = F[x] \Rightarrow \dim(V) \neq n$   
( $R^r$  is infinite dim'l and would  
be isomorphic to subspace of  $V$ ,  
this cannot be!)

Conclusion:  $r = 0$  hence

$V = \text{Tor}(V)$  as  $F[x]$ -module  
the vector space is pure torsion

$$\begin{aligned}
 \boxed{E2} \quad M &= \mathbb{Z}_{900} \times \mathbb{Z}_{300} \times \mathbb{Z}^2 \\
 &\cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_{300} \times \mathbb{Z}_{900}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Tor}(M) = \mathbb{Z}/(300) \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(900)$$

$$a_1 = 300, a_2 = 900$$

oh, maybe  $\boxed{E2}$  too easy, sometimes need to process some to see how  $\text{Th}^m(S)$  plays out,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \boxed{E3} \quad \mathbb{Z}_{300} &= \mathbb{Z}_{3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 2^2} \\
 &= \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{25} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 N &= \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_{25} \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_{900} \\
 \hookrightarrow N &\cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{300} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{900} \begin{cases} \text{rank}(N) = 2 \\ \text{Tor}(N) = \mathbb{Z}_{300} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{900} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

We should discuss the other form of the Fundamental  $\text{Th}^m$ , recall

PID  $\Rightarrow$  UFD

$$a = u p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_s^{\alpha_s}$$

$$R/(a) \cong R/(p_1^{\alpha_1}) \oplus R/(p_2^{\alpha_2}) \oplus \dots \oplus R/(p_s^{\alpha_s})$$

(we can rewrite  $(a)$  in-terms of its decomposition into prime powers, and we can do that for each  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m$  in  $\text{Th}^m(S)$ )