

# Chapter 1

## Fiber Bundles

**Definition 1.1** A fiber bundle is a mapping  $\pi$  from a manifold  $E$  onto a manifold  $M$  subject to the following properties:

1.  $\pi$  is smooth and surjective.
2. There exist a manifold  $F$ , called the fiber of  $\pi$ , and an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $M$  along with a corresponding family of mappings  $\psi_U : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times F$ ,  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , such that
  - (a)  $\psi_U$  is a diffeomorphism and
  - (b) If  $\pi_U$  is the projection of  $U \times F$  onto  $U$ , then  $\pi_U(\psi_U(y)) = \pi(y)$  for all  $y \in \pi^{-1}(U)$ .

Condition (2b) is usually expressed by saying that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{\psi_U} & U \times F \\ \pi \searrow & & \swarrow \pi_U \\ & U & \end{array}$$

is commutative. Moreover, the mappings  $\{\psi_U\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  are said to be local trivializing mappings of the bundle.

Notice that if  $u_0 \in U, U \in \mathcal{U}$ , then  $\pi^{-1}(u_0)$  is a submanifold of  $\pi^{-1}(U) \subseteq E$  which is diffeomorphic to the fiber  $F$  of  $\pi$ . To see that this is so, observe that  $y \in \pi^{-1}(U)$  is mapped to  $u_0$  by  $\pi$  iff  $\pi_U(\psi_U(y)) = u_0$ , and this is true iff  $\psi_U(y) = (u_0, f)$  for some  $f \in F$ . Thus,

$$\pi^{-1}(u_0) = \{y \in \pi^{-1}(U) | y = \psi_U^{-1}(u_0, f), f \in F\}$$

and

$$\pi^{-1}(u_0) = \psi_U^{-1}(\{u_0\} \times F).$$

**Definition 1.2** If  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  is a fiber bundle, then  $E$  is called the bundle space or simply the bundle of  $\pi$  and  $M$  is called the base space or base of  $\pi$ .

**Definition 1.3** If  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  is a fiber bundle, then  $s$  is a local section of  $\pi$  iff  $s$  is a smooth mapping from some open subset  $U \subseteq M$  into  $E$  such that  $\pi \circ s = \text{id}_U$ . The local section  $s$  is called a global section of  $\pi$  iff  $U = M$ .

**Exercise 1.1** Show that  $s(U)$  is a submanifold of  $E$  which intersects each fiber  $\pi^{-1}(u)$  over points  $u \in U$  in one and only one point.

Observe that every point  $m \in M$  is in the domain of some local section of  $\pi$ . To prove this, choose a local trivializing mapping  $\psi_U : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times F$  such that  $m \in U$ . Let  $f_0$  denote any element of  $F$ , the fiber of  $\pi$ , and define  $s : U \rightarrow E$  by

$$s(x) = \psi_U^{-1}(x, f_0)$$

for each  $x \in U$ . Clearly  $s$  is smooth and  $\pi_U(\psi_U(s(x))) = \pi(x, f_0) = x$  and thus  $\pi(s(x)) = x$  for all  $x \in U$ .

It follows that there is a family of local sections  $\{s_U\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  of  $\pi$  whose domains cover the base space  $M$ . For many mappings  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ , having such a family  $\{s_U\}$  of local sections implies the existence of a family  $\{\psi_U\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  of local trivializing mappings and thus implies that  $\pi$  is a fiber bundle. This need not hold in general, however.

**Definition 1.4** If  $\pi_1 : E_1 \rightarrow M_1$  and  $\pi_2 : E_2 \rightarrow M_2$  are fiber bundles with fibers  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , respectively, then the pair of functions  $(\Phi, \phi)$  is a bundle

isomorphism from  $\pi_1$  to  $\pi_2$  iff  $\Phi$  is a diffeomorphism from  $E_1$  to  $E_2$ ,  $\phi$  is a diffeomorphism from  $M_1$  to  $M_2$ , and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1 & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & E_2 \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_2 \\ M_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & M_2 \end{array}$$

is commutative. In this case, the fiber  $\pi_1^{-1}(x)$  of  $E_1$  over  $x \in M_1$  is mapped diffeomorphically by  $\Phi$  onto the fiber  $\pi_2^{-1}(\phi(x))$  of  $E_2$  over  $\phi(x)$ . In particular,  $F_1$  is diffeomorphic to  $F_2$ . A fiber bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  with fiber  $F$  is said to be trivial iff it is bundle isomorphic to the product bundle  $\pi_M : M \times F \rightarrow M$  (note that the product bundle possesses a single trivializing mapping with  $U = M, \mathcal{U} = \{U\}, \psi_U = \text{id}_{M \times F}$ ).

Finally, observe that if  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  is any fiber bundle with local trivializing mappings  $\{\psi_U\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  then  $\pi|_{\pi^{-1}(U)} : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$  is itself a fiber bundle with a single local trivializing mapping  $\psi_U$ , and in fact  $\pi|_{\pi^{-1}(U)}$  is isomorphic to a trivial fiber bundle, namely  $\pi_U : U \times F \rightarrow U$ . Moreover,  $(\psi_U, \text{id}_U)$  is a bundle isomorphism from  $\pi|_{\pi^{-1}(U)}$  to  $\pi_U$ . Thus, every fiber bundle is locally trivial in this sense, but most interesting fiber bundles are nontrivial.

### Examples

1. If  $M$  is a manifold, then  $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$  is a fiber bundle. To see this, notice that if  $(U, x)$  is any admissible chart of  $M$ , then

$$\pi^{-1}(U) = TU = \{(m, v) | m \in U, v \in T_m M\}.$$

Let  $dx : TU \rightarrow x(U) \times \mathbb{R}^n$  be the mapping defined by  $dx(m, v) = (x(m), d_m x^i(v)r_i)$ . Local trivializing mappings  $\{\psi_U\}$  may be defined in terms of these charts  $(TU, dx)$  of  $TM$  by

$$\psi_U = (x^{-1} \circ \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}) \circ dx : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Thus, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{dx} & x(U) \times \mathbb{R}^n & \xrightarrow{x^{-1} \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}} & U \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ \pi \searrow & & U & & \swarrow \pi_U \end{array}$$

is commutative and defines the bundle structure of  $\pi$ . Note that the fiber of the bundle is  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , where  $n = \dim M$ . Observe that if  $M$  does not have a well-defined dimension (if it varies from component to component) then  $TM$  is not a fiber bundle.

2. If  $M$  is a manifold and  $T^*M$  is the cotangent bundle, then the projection  $\pi^* : T^*M \rightarrow M$  is a fiber bundle (assuming  $M$  is  $n$ -dimensional). Its fiber is  $(\mathbf{R}^n)^*$ , and trivializing mappings  $\psi_U$  may be defined by

$$\psi_U(m, \alpha) = \left( m, \alpha \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_m \right) r^i \right),$$

where  $(U, x)$  is an admissible chart of  $M$ .

3. For each  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $\pi : \wedge^k M \rightarrow M$  is a fiber bundle. The fiber is  $\wedge^k \mathbf{R}^n$ , and trivializing mappings are defined by

$$\psi_U(m, \alpha) = \left( m, \alpha \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_1}} \Big|_m, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_k}} \Big|_m \right) (r^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge r^{i_k}) \right).$$

4. Let  $M$  be a manifold. We define a fiber bundle called the *frame bundle* of  $M$ . The bundle space is denoted  $\mathcal{FM}$ ; it is the set of all ordered pairs  $(m, \{e_i\})$  where  $m \in M$  and  $\{e_i\}$  is a basis of  $T_m M$ . Such a basis is called a *frame* at  $m$  and thus  $\mathcal{FM}$  is a bundle of frames of  $M$ . The fiber bundle mapping is  $\pi : \mathcal{FM} \rightarrow M$  defined by  $\pi(m, \{e_i\}) = m$ ; it designates the point at which the frame  $\{e_i\}$  is attached. We show that  $\mathcal{FM}$  is a manifold and that  $\pi : \mathcal{FM} \rightarrow M$  is a fiber bundle with fiber the group  $Gl(\mathbf{R}^n)$  of all nonsingular  $n \times n$  real matrices. We elaborate in some detail the structure of  $\pi : \mathcal{FM} \rightarrow M$ .

First observe that if  $m \in M$  then  $\pi^{-1}(m)$  is the set of all frames at  $m$ . If  $(m, \{e_i\})$  and  $(m, \{f_i\})$  are two points in the fiber  $\pi^{-1}(m)$  then they are related via a unique  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  such that

$$f_j = A_j^i e_i.$$

This suggests that the fiber is  $Gl(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and how to get charts and local trivializing mappings. Choose any admissible chart  $(U, x)$  of  $M$ . Let

$$\mathcal{F}U = \{(m, \{e_i\}) | m \in U\}$$

and let  $\mathcal{F}x : \mathcal{F}U \rightarrow x(U) \times Gl(\mathbf{R}^n)$  be defined by

$$(\mathcal{F}x)(m, \{e_i\}) = (x(m), (d_m x^j(e_i))).$$

Thus,  $(\mathcal{F}x)(m, \{e_i\}) = (x(m), A)$  where  $A$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix defined by

$$A_i^j = d_m x^j(e_i).$$

$A$  is invertible since both  $\{e_i\}$  and  $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\Big|_m\right\}$  are bases of  $T_m M$  and

$$e_i = A_i^j \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \Big|_m \right).$$

Moreover,  $\mathcal{F}x$  maps  $\mathcal{F}U$  onto all of  $x(U) \times Gl(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . We leave it as an exercise to show that if  $\mathcal{A}_M$  is an admissible atlas of  $M$  then

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(\mathcal{F}U, \mathcal{F}x) | (U, x) \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

is an atlas of  $\mathcal{F}M$ . Moreover,  $Gl(\mathbf{R}^n)$  is an open subset of  $gl(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , which may be identified with  $\mathbf{R}^{n^2}$ . Finally  $\psi_U : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times Gl(\mathbf{R}^n)$  is a local trivializing mapping if we define it by

$$\psi_U = (x^{-1} \circ \text{id}_{Gl(\mathbf{R}^n)}) \circ \mathcal{F}x.$$

5. Let  $M$  be a manifold and  $g$  a metric on  $M$ . Then  $g$  is a type  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$  tensor field on  $M$  which is symmetric and nondegenerate and which has constant index  $k = n - p$ . For each  $m \in M$ ,  $g_m$  is a metric on  $T_m M$  and thus there is a  $g$ -orthonormal basis  $\{e_i\}$  of  $T_m M$  such that  $\{j | g_m(e_j, e_j) = -1\}$  has  $k$  elements in it. By reordering this basis if necessary we obtain

$$g_m(e_i, e_j) = G_{ij},$$

where

$$G_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ 1 & i = j, 1 \leq i \leq p \\ -1 & i = j, p+1 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Define  $\mathcal{O}_g M = \{(m, \{e_i\}) \in \mathcal{F}M | g_m(e_i, e_j) = G_{ij}\}$ . We claim  $\mathcal{O}_g M \xrightarrow{\pi} M, \pi(m, \{e_i\}) = m$ , is a fiber bundle. This is not difficult to prove, given the following Theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** *If  $M$  is a manifold and  $g$  is a metric on  $M$  with index  $k = n - p$ , then for each  $m_0 \in M$  there exist an open set  $U$  about  $m_0$  and vector fields  $\{\mathfrak{x}_i\}$  on  $U$  such that*

$$g_m(\mathfrak{x}_i(m), \mathfrak{x}_j(m)) = G_{ij}$$

for all  $m \in U$ .

We first show how to use the theorem to prove that  $\mathcal{O}_g M \xrightarrow{\pi} M$  is a fiber bundle, after which we will prove the Theorem.

Let  $\mathcal{O}(p, k) = \{A \in Gl(\mathbf{R}^n) | A^T G A = G\}$ . We leave it as an exercise to be proven later that  $\mathcal{O}(p, k)$  is a manifold. We show that  $\pi : \mathcal{O}_g M \rightarrow M$  is locally trivial with fiber  $\mathcal{O}(p, k)$ . By the Theorem there is an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $M$  such that for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  there exist vector fields  $\{\mathfrak{x}_i\}_{i=1}^n$  defined on  $U$  such that

$$g_m(\mathfrak{x}_i(m), \mathfrak{x}_j(m)) = G_{ij}$$

for all  $m \in U$ . Define a mapping

$$\psi_U : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathcal{O}(p, k)$$

by

$$\psi_U(m, \{e_i\}) = (m, (\Xi^j(m)(e_i)))$$

where  $\Xi^j$  is the differential form defined on  $U$  by

$$\Xi^j(m)(\mathfrak{x}_i(m)) = \delta_i^j.$$

To show that the matrix  $\lambda$  whose components are

$$\lambda_i^j = \Xi^j(m)(e_i)$$

is actually in  $\mathcal{O}(p, k)$ , observe that  $e_i = \lambda_i^k \mathfrak{x}_k(m)$  and

$$G_{ij} = g_m(e_i, e_j) = \lambda_i^k \lambda_j^l g_m(\mathfrak{x}_k(m), \mathfrak{x}_l(m))$$

and

$$G_j^i = \sum_{k,l} (\lambda_i^k \lambda_j^l) G_l^k = (\lambda^T G \lambda)_j^i.$$

So  $G = \lambda^T G \lambda$  and  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}(p, k)$  as required. It follows that  $\psi_U$  maps  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  into  $U \times \mathcal{O}(p, k)$ . Moreover,  $\psi_U$  has an inverse and in fact

$$\psi_U^{-1}(m, (\lambda_i^j)) = (m, \lambda_i^j x_j(m)).$$

The mappings  $\{\psi_U\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  have the formal requirements of local trivializing mappings but they must also be smooth. So one needs a manifold structure on  $\mathcal{O}_g M$  such that the maps  $\{\psi_U\}$  are diffeomorphisms. One defines such a structure on  $\mathcal{O}_g M$  as follows.

First observe that it is no loss of generality to assume that for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $U$  is a subset of the domain of some chart of  $M$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}(p, k)$  denote an atlas of admissible charts of  $\mathcal{O}(p, k)$ . For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and each chart  $y \in \mathcal{A}(p, k)$  let  $x$  denote an admissible chart of  $M$  defined on  $U$  and let  $U(y) = \psi_U^{-1}(U \times V_y)$  where  $V_y \subseteq \mathcal{O}(p, k)$  is the domain of  $y$ . Finally define a chart  $\eta_y : U(y) \rightarrow x(U) \times y(V_y)$  by

$$\eta_y = (x \times y) \circ \psi_U.$$

It is easy to show that  $\mathcal{A} = \{(U(y), \eta_y) | U \in \mathcal{U}, y \in \mathcal{A}(p, k)\}$  is an atlas on  $\mathcal{O}_g(M)$  and this defines a differentiable structure on  $\mathcal{O}_g(M)$ . Moreover, relative to this structure the mappings  $\{\psi_U\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  are all smooth. Indeed, if one chooses a point of  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  for some  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , then that point is in  $U(y) = \psi_U^{-1}(U \times V_y)$  for some  $V_y$ , and one can show that  $\psi_U$  restricted to  $U(y)$  is smooth by considering its local representatives. We see from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_y & \xrightarrow{\psi_U} & U \times V_y \\ \eta_y \downarrow & & \downarrow x \times y \\ x(U) \times y(V_y) & \xrightarrow{\text{identity}} & x(U) \times y(V_y) \end{array}$$

that the identity mapping is the local representative of  $\psi_U$  relative to the charts  $\eta_y$  and  $x \times y$  and so  $\psi_U$  is indeed smooth.

To complete the proof one needs to prove Theorem 2.1 above.

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** The proof requires a number of steps. Throughout the proof let  $m_0 \in M$  and let  $(W, \bar{x})$  denote an admissible chart of  $M$  such that  $m_0 \in W$ .

**Step I:** The chart  $\bar{x}$  may be modified to obtain a new admissible chart  $x$  defined on an open subset of  $W$  such that

$$g_{m_0} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{m_0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \Big|_{m_0} \right) = G_{ij}.$$

To see this, first choose any frame  $\{e_i\}$  at  $m_0$  such that  $g_{m_0}(e_i, e_j) = G_{ij}$ . Let  $A$  be any matrix such that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^k} \Big|_{m_0} = A_k^i e_i$ . Define  $x^i = A_k^i \bar{x}^k$  on all of  $W$ ; then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{m_0} = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^k}{\partial x^i} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^k} \Big|_{m_0} \right) = A_i^{-1k} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^k} \Big|_{m_0} \right) = e_i$$

and consequently

$$g_{m_0} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{m_0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \Big|_{m_0} \right) = G_{ij}.$$

This proves Step I.

Notice that a consequence of Step I is that

$$g_{m_0} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{m_0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \Big|_{m_0} \right) = \delta_{ij}$$

for  $1 \leq i, j \leq p$ . We eventually show that this holds for all  $m$  in some open set about  $m_0$  and we characterize a maximal subset on which  $g_m$  is positive definite.

**Step II:** Let  $T_m^+ M = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda^i \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_m \right) \mid \lambda^i \in \mathbf{R} \right\}$  for each  $m \in W$ . We show that there is an open subset  $\mathcal{O}_{m_0} \subseteq W$  containing  $m_0$  such that for each  $m \in \mathcal{O}_{m_0}$ ,  $g_m$  restricted to  $T_m^+ M$  is positive definite.

*Proof of Step II.* Let  $S$  denote the unit sphere in  $\mathbf{R}^p$ . Thus  $\vec{\lambda} \in S$  iff  $\sum_{i=1}^p (\lambda^i)^2 = 1$ . Define a function  $H : S \times W \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by

$$H(\vec{\lambda}, m) = g_m \left( \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda^i \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_m \right), \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda^j \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \Big|_m \right) \right).$$



The mapping  $H$  is continuous, and

$$\begin{aligned} H(\vec{\lambda}, m_0) &= g_m \left( \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda^i \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{m_0} \right), \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda^j \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \Big|_{m_0} \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda^i \lambda^j \delta_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^p (\lambda^k)^2 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

For each  $\vec{\lambda} \in S$ , let  $U_{\vec{\lambda}}$  be open about  $\vec{\lambda}$  in  $S$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\vec{\lambda}}$  open about  $m_0$  in  $M$  such that  $H$  is positive on  $U_{\vec{\lambda}} \times \mathcal{O}_{\vec{\lambda}}$ . There exists a finite number of the sets  $U_{\vec{\lambda}}$  which covers  $S$ ,  $U_{\vec{\lambda}_1}, \dots, U_{\vec{\lambda}_N}$ . Let

$$U_{\alpha} = U_{\vec{\lambda}_{\alpha}} \text{ and } \mathcal{O}_{\alpha} = \mathcal{O}_{\vec{\lambda}_{\alpha}}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{O}_{m_0} = \bigcap_{\alpha=1}^N \mathcal{O}_{\alpha}$ . For  $(\vec{\lambda}, m) \in S \times \mathcal{O}_{m_0}$  we see that  $\vec{\lambda} \in U_{\alpha_0}$

for some  $\alpha_0$  and, since  $m \in \mathcal{O}_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha$ , we see that  $(\vec{\lambda}, m) \in U_{\alpha_0} \times \mathcal{O}_{\alpha_0}$  and thus  $H(\vec{\lambda}, m) > 0$ . So  $H$  is positive on  $S \times \mathcal{O}_{m_0}$ . We claim  $g_m$  is positive definite on  $T_m^+ M$  for all  $m \in \mathcal{O}_{m_0}$ . To see this, let  $m \in \mathcal{O}_{m_0}$  and  $V \in T_m^+ M$  such that  $v \neq 0$ . Then

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda^i \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{m_0} \right) \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^p (\lambda^i)^2 \neq 0. \text{ Let}$$

$$\|\vec{\lambda}\| = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^p (\lambda^i)^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

and observe that

$$\frac{1}{\|\vec{\lambda}\|} v = \sum_{i=1}^p \left( \frac{\lambda^i}{\|\vec{\lambda}\|} \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_m \right),$$

where

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \left( \frac{\lambda^i}{\|\vec{\lambda}\|} \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^p \left[ \frac{(\lambda^i)^2}{\sum_{j=1}^p (\lambda^j)^2} \right] = 1.$$

Thus  $g_m \left( \frac{1}{\|\vec{\lambda}\|} v, \frac{1}{\|\vec{\lambda}\|} v \right) = \frac{1}{\|\vec{\lambda}\|^2} g_m(v, v)$  and  $\frac{1}{\|\vec{\lambda}\|^2} g_m(v, v) = H \left( \frac{\vec{\lambda}}{\|\vec{\lambda}\|}, m \right) > 0$ . Thus  $g_m(v, v) > 0$  as required. So  $g_m$  is positive definite on  $T_m^+ M$  for all  $m \in \mathcal{O}_{m_0}$  and Step II follows.

Notice that for each  $m \in \mathcal{O}_{m_0}$ ,  $\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_m \right\}$  is a basis of  $T_m^+ M$ . We may apply Gram-Schmit orthogonalization to this basis to obtain a  $g_m|_{(T_m^+ M \times T_m^+ M)}$  orthogonal basis of  $T_m^+ M$ . Let  $\{\xi_i(m)\}$  denote this basis. An examination of the orthogonalization process shows that the resulting vector fields  $\{\xi_i\}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{m_0}$  are in fact smooth and so one has vector fields  $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^p$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{m_0}$  such that

$$g_m(\xi_i(m), \xi_j(m)) = \delta_{ij}$$

for all  $m \in \mathcal{O}_{m_0}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq p$ .

**Step III:** Let  $T_m^- M$  denote the  $g_m$  orthogonal complement of  $T_m^+ M$  in  $T_m M$  for each  $m \in \mathcal{O}_{m_0}$ . We claim that  $T_m M = T_m^+ M \oplus T_m^- M$  for all  $m \in \mathcal{O}_{m_0}$  and that the restriction of  $g_m$  to  $T_m^- M$  is negative definite.

*Proof.* Let  $v \in T_m M$ ,  $m \in \mathcal{O}_{m_0}$ . We show that  $v = v^+ + v^-$  for some  $v^+ \in T_m^+ M$ ,  $v^- \in T_m^- M$ . Define  $v^+$  by

$$v^+ = \sum_{j=1}^p g_m(v, \xi_j(m)) \xi_j(m).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} g_m(v - v^+, \xi_i(m)) &= g_m(v, \xi_i(m)) - \sum_{j=1}^p g_m(v, \xi_j(m)) g_m(\xi_j(m), \xi_i(m)) \\ &= g_m(v, \xi_i(m)) - \sum_{j=1}^p g_m(v, \xi_j(m)) \delta_{ji} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for all  $\xi_i(m)$  and since  $\{\xi_i(m)\}$  is a basis of  $T_m^+ M$  we see that  $v - v^+$  is in the  $g_m$ -orthogonal complement of  $T_m^+ M$  in  $T_m M$  and thus  $v - v^+ \in T_m^- M$ . If we let  $v^- = v - v^+$  we have  $v = v^+ + v^-$  as we require. To see that the sum is a direct sum note that if  $v \in T_m^+ M \cap T_m^- M$  then  $v \in T_m^+ M$  is such that  $g_m(v, v) = 0$  and since  $g_m$  is positive definite on  $T_m^+ M$ ,  $v = 0$ . Thus  $T_m M = T_m^+ M \oplus T_m^- M$ .

We now show that  $g_m$  restricted to  $T_m^- M$  is negative definite. Assume this is not so; then an orthonormal basis  $\{f_j\}_{j=p+1}^n$  of  $T_m^- M$

exists for which there is at least one  $p+1 \leq j \leq n$  such that  $g_m(f_j, f_j) = 1$ . It follows that

$$\xi_1(m), \xi_2(m), \dots, \xi_p(m), f_{p+1}, f_{p+2}, \dots, f_m$$

is a  $g_m$ -orthonormal basis of  $T_m M$  such that  $g_m(\xi_i(m), \xi_i(m)) = 1$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq p$ , and  $g_m(f_j, f_j) = 1$ . This implies that the index of  $g_m$  is less than  $n - p$ , contrary to hypothesis. It follows that  $g_m$  restricted to  $T_m^- M$  is negative definite.

*Proof of the Theorem itself.* Let  $\rho_m : T_m M \rightarrow T_m^- M$  denote the orthogonal projection of  $T_m M$  onto  $T_m^- M$ . Recall this may be defined by  $\rho_m(v) = v^-$  where  $v = v^+ + v^-$  is the decomposition in Step III. Let  $w \in T_m M$  and write  $w = w^+ + w^-$ . Since  $w^- \in T_m^- M$ ,  $w^- = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_m \right)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_m(w^-) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \rho_m \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_m \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^p \mu_i \rho_m \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_m \right) + \sum_{i=p+1}^n \mu_i \rho_m \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_m \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=p+1}^n \mu_i \rho_m \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_m \right). \end{aligned}$$

So  $w^- = \rho_m(w^-) = \sum_{i=p+1}^n \mu_i \rho_m \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_m \right)$ . The metric  $-g_m$  is positive definite on  $T_m^- M$  and so we can apply Gram-Schmit orthogonalization to the vector fields

$$m \mapsto \rho_m \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_m \right), \quad p+1 \leq i \leq n$$

on  $\mathcal{O}_{m_0}$ . We obtain vector fields  $\xi_{p+1}, \dots, \xi_n$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{m_0}$  such that

$$(-g_m)(\xi_i(m), \xi_j(m)) = \delta_{ij}, \quad p+1 \leq i, j \leq n$$

for all  $m \in \mathcal{O}_{m_0}$ . Thus we have vector fields  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{m_0}$  such that

$$g_m(\xi_i(m), \xi_j(m)) = G_{ij}$$

for all  $m \in \mathcal{O}_{m_0}$ .

**Definition 1.5** A fiber bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  is called a vector bundle iff

1. the fiber of the bundle is a vector space  $V$ ,
2. there is a family of local trivializing mappings  $\psi_U : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times V$ ,  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that if  $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$ , then for each  $m \in U_1 \cap U_2$  the mapping from  $V$  to  $V$  defined by

$$x \mapsto \pi_V \left( \psi_{U_2} \left( \psi_{U_1}^{-1}(m, x) \right) \right)$$

is a vector space isomorphism.

Observe that in this case there exist well-defined continuous operations  $+$  and  $\cdot$  on each fiber  $\pi^{-1}(m)$ ,  $m \in M$ . These operations are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} v + w &= \psi_U^{-1}(m, \pi_V(\psi_U(v)) + \pi_V(\psi_U(w))) \\ cv &= \psi_U^{-1}(m, c \cdot \pi_V(\psi_U(v))). \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 1.2** Show that  $TM, T^*M, \Lambda^k M$  are vector bundles.

**Definition 1.6** Two vector bundles  $(E_1, M_1, \pi_1)$  and  $(E_2, M_2, \pi_2)$  are vector bundle isomorphic iff there exists a fiber bundle isomorphism  $(\Phi, \phi)$  from  $\pi_1$  to  $\pi_2$  such that for each  $m \in M$  the restriction of  $\Phi$  to  $\pi_1^{-1}(m)$  is a vector space isomorphism from  $\pi_1^{-1}(m)$  onto  $\pi_2^{-1}(\phi(m))$ .

### Examples

1. Let  $\mathcal{N}$  denote Newtonian space, i.e.  $\mathcal{N}$  is a manifold with an atlas  $\mathcal{A}$  such that
  - (a) if  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$  then  $y \circ x^{-1}$  is a rigid motion of  $\mathbf{R}^3$
  - (b) if  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\phi$  is a rigid motion of  $\mathbf{R}^3$  then  $\phi \circ x \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{SN} = \mathbf{R} \times \mathcal{N}$  denote the bundle space of the trivial bundle  $\pi_T : \mathcal{SN} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, \pi_T(t, x) = t$ . Observe that trajectories of objects in Newtonian space are described by local sections of this bundle:  $\hat{\gamma}(t) = (t, \gamma(t))$  where  $\gamma(t) \in \mathcal{N}$  is the position of the object at time  $t$ . The velocity of the object is  $\frac{d}{dt}\pi_{\mathcal{A}}(\hat{\gamma}(t)) = \frac{d}{dt}(\gamma(t))$ . We thus see that Newtonian spacetime is a fiber bundle over time-axis but Minkowski spacetime is not.

2. Let  $Q$  be the configuration space of a system of particles. The time evolution of the system is a section of the trivial bundle  $\mathbf{R} \times TQ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ .
3. Let  $M$  denote Minkowski spacetime. The electromagnetic field tensor is a section of the bundle  $\Lambda^2 M \rightarrow M$ , a trivial fiber bundle which is not obviously trivial. Similarly vector potentials are sections of the bundle  $\Lambda^1 M \rightarrow M$ .
4. Let  $M$  denote Minkowski space and  $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^2$  a spin field. Note that this defines a section  $\hat{\psi}(x) = (x, \psi(x))$  of the trivial bundle  $M \times \mathbf{C}^2 \rightarrow M$ .

These examples show that most dynamical fields in physics may be viewed as (local) sections of some fiber bundle.

It is our intent to formulate a theory in which all Lagrangians have domain an appropriate fiber bundle.

**Definition 1.7** *If  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  is a fiber bundle with fiber  $F$  and  $(U, \bar{y})$  is an admissible chart of  $E$  then we say that this chart is adapted to the bundle  $\pi$  iff  $\pi(U)$  is open in  $M$  and there is a chart  $\bar{x}$  of  $M$  defined on  $\pi(U)$  such that  $\bar{y}^\mu = \bar{x}^\mu \circ \pi$  for  $1 \leq \mu \leq n$ ,  $n = \dim M$ . In this case we often write  $x^\mu = \bar{x}^\mu \circ \pi$ ,  $1 \leq \mu \leq n$ , and  $y^a = \bar{y}^{a+n}$  for  $1 \leq a \leq N$  where  $N = \dim F$ .*

**Exercise 1.3** If  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  is a fiber bundle and  $y \in E$  then there is an adapted coordinate system at  $y$ .

Note that if  $u \in E$  and  $w \in T_u E$  such that  $d_u \pi(w) = 0$  then

$$w = \sum_{a=1}^N w^a \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \Big|_w \right).$$

Indeed, in general,  $w = \sum_{\mu=1}^n w^\mu \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \Big|_w \right) + \sum_{a=1}^N w^a \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \Big|_w \right)$ . But  $d_u x^\mu(w) = d\bar{x}^\mu(d_u \pi(w)) = 0$  and also

$$d_u x^\mu(w) = dx^\mu \left( \sum_{\nu} w^\nu \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \Big|_w \right) + \sum_a w^a \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \Big|_w \right) \right) = w^\mu.$$

Thus  $w^\mu = 0$  for  $1 \leq \mu \leq n$  and

$$w = \sum_{a=1}^N w^a \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \Big|_w \right)$$

as asserted.

**Definition 1.8** If  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  is a fiber bundle then a tangent vector  $w \in T_u E$  at  $u \in E$  is vertical iff  $d_u \pi(w) = 0$ . A curve  $\gamma : I \rightarrow E$  in  $E$  is vertical iff  $\gamma'(t) \in T_{\gamma(t)} E$  is vertical for all  $t \in I$ .

**Exercise 1.4** Show that a curve  $\gamma : I \rightarrow E$  is vertical iff the image of  $\gamma$  lies in a single fiber of  $E$ .

**Definition 1.9** If  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  is a fiber bundle and  $y_0 \in E$  then  $J_{y_0} E$  denotes the set of all linear mappings  $\gamma : T_{\pi(y_0)} M \rightarrow T_{y_0} E$  such that

$$d_{y_0} \pi \circ \gamma = \text{id}_{T_{\pi(y_0)} M}.$$

If  $JE = \left\{ (y, \gamma) \mid y \in E \text{ and } \gamma \in J_y E \right\}$  then we will show that the mapping  $\pi_E : JE \rightarrow E$  defined by  $\pi_E(y, \gamma) = y$  defines a fiber bundle structure. This fiber bundle is called the first order jet bundle of the fiber bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ .

**Theorem 1.2** If  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  is a fiber bundle and  $\gamma \in J_y E$ , then  $T_y E = \text{Im } \gamma \oplus \text{Ker } d_y \pi$ . Moreover there is a local section  $s : U \rightarrow E$  of  $\pi$  such that  $s(x) = y$  and  $\gamma = d_x s$  where  $x = \pi(y)$ .

*Proof.* First we show that  $T_y E = \text{Im } \gamma \oplus \text{Ker } d_y \pi$ . Let  $w \in T_y E$  and notice that

$$\begin{aligned} d_y \pi (w - \gamma (d_y \pi (w))) &= d_y \pi (w) - d_y \pi (\gamma (d_y \pi (w))) \\ &= d_y \pi (w) - d_y \pi (w) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So  $w - \gamma (d_y \pi (w)) \in \text{Ker } d_y \pi$  and if we let  $k = w - \gamma (d_y \pi (w))$  then  $w = \gamma (d_y \pi (w)) + k \in \text{Im } \gamma + \text{Ker } d_y \pi$ . Also observe that if  $w \in \text{Im } \gamma \cap \text{Ker } d_y \pi$  then  $w = \gamma (v)$  for some  $v \in T_{\pi(y)} M$  and  $d_y \pi (w) = 0$ . Thus  $v = d_y \pi (\gamma (v)) = d_y \pi (w) = 0$  and  $w = \gamma (v) = 0$ . So  $w \in \text{Im } \gamma \cap \text{Ker } d_y \pi = 0$  and  $T_y E = \text{Im } \gamma \oplus \text{Ker } d_y \pi$ .

To prove the second part of the theorem, let  $(\tilde{U}, x^\mu, y^a)$  denote an admissible chart of  $E$  which is adapted to the fiber bundle structure  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ . If  $(\bar{x}^\mu)$  is a chart of  $M$  on  $\pi(\tilde{U})$  such that  $x^\mu = \bar{x}^\mu \circ \pi$  then  $\gamma$  has the property that

$$\gamma \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu} \Big|_x \right) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \Big|_y \right) + \gamma_\mu^a \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \Big|_y \right) \quad (1.1)$$

for some set of numbers  $\gamma_\mu^a \in \mathbf{R}$ . This follows from the fact that  $\gamma$  maps  $T_x M$  to  $T_y E$  and thus

$$\gamma \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu} \Big|_x \right) = a_\mu^\nu \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \Big|_y \right) + \gamma_\mu^a \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \Big|_y \right).$$

On the other hand the fact that  $d_y \pi \left( \gamma_x \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu} \Big|_x \right) \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu} \Big|_x$  implies that  $a_\mu^\nu = \delta_\mu^\nu$  and (??) holds. To show that there exists a mapping  $s$  satisfying the conclusion of the theorem we prescribe the components of  $s$  in the chart  $(x^\mu, y^a)$  and thus prescribe  $s$  itself. We want  $s(x) = y$  so we must require that  $x^\mu(s(x)) = x^\mu(y)$  and  $y^a(s(x)) = y^a(y)$  for all  $1 \leq \mu \leq n, 1 \leq a \leq N$ . Moreover we require that  $d_x s = \gamma$  and since for every local section  $s$

$$\begin{aligned} d_u s \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu} \Big|_u \right) &= \partial_\nu (x^\mu \circ s \circ \bar{x}^{-1}) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \Big|_u \right) + \partial_\nu (y^a \circ s \circ \bar{x}^{-1}) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \Big|_u \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \Big|_u \right) + \frac{\partial (y^a \circ s)}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \Big|_u \right) \end{aligned}$$

we must have

$$\gamma_\nu^a = \frac{\partial (y^a \circ s)}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu} (x).$$

So, essentially we must prescribe the terms of the “first order Taylor polynomial” of  $s$  in order to obtain the desired properties:  $s(x) = y$ ,  $d_x s = \gamma$ . Thus we want  $s$  to satisfy the conditions:

$$\begin{cases} x^\mu(s(u)) &= \bar{x}^\mu(\pi(s(u))) = \bar{x}^\mu(u) \\ y^a(s(u)) &= y^a(y) + \gamma_\nu^a(\bar{x}^\nu(u) - \bar{x}^\nu(x)). \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

When these conditions hold we see that

$$\begin{aligned} x^\mu(s(x)) &= \bar{x}^\mu(x) = \bar{x}^\mu(\pi(y)) = x^\mu(y), \\ y^a(s(x)) &= y^a(y) \end{aligned}$$

and so  $s(x) = y$ . Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial(y^a \circ s)}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu} &= \partial_\mu(y^a \circ s \circ \bar{x}^{-1}) \\ &= \partial_\mu \{ y^a(y) + \gamma_\nu^a((\bar{x}^\nu \circ \bar{x}^{-1}) - (\bar{x}^\nu \circ \bar{x}^{-1})(\bar{x}(x))) \} \\ &= \gamma_\nu^a \delta_\mu^\nu = \gamma_\mu^a. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\partial(y^a \circ s)}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu}(u) = \gamma_\mu^a$$

for all  $u$  and thus for  $u = x$ . Finally observe that the equations (??) may indeed be imposed on  $s$  on some open subset  $U$  about  $x$  lying in the image of  $\pi(\tilde{U})$  since they hold at  $u = x$  itself. The theorem follows.

**Definition 1.10** If  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  is a fiber bundle and  $x_0 \in M$  then two local sections  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  of  $\pi$ , each defined on an open subset of  $M$  containing  $x_0$ , are said to be 1-jet equivalent iff  $s_1(x_0) = s_2(x_0)$  and  $d_{x_0}s_1 = d_{x_0}s_2$ . Thus  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  must define the same point  $y_0 = s_1(x_0) = s_2(x_0)$  of  $E$  and the same element  $d_{x_0}s_1 = d_{x_0}s_2 \in J_{y_0}E$ . Observe that for fixed  $x_0 \in M$  the notion of 1-jet equivalence defines an equivalence relation on the set of all local sections of  $\pi$  defined at  $x_0$ . Given such a local section  $s$  denote the equivalence class determined by  $s$  by  $(js)(x_0)$ . Observe that one obtains a mapping  $js$  from the domain of  $s$  into  $JE$  and moreover that  $(js)(x) = (s(x), d_x s)$  for each  $x \in \text{dom } s$ . We show that the mappings  $\pi_E : JE \rightarrow E$  and  $\pi_M : JE \rightarrow M$  defined by  $\pi_E(y, \gamma) = y$ ,  $\pi_M(y, \gamma) = \pi(y)$  are fiber bundles and that  $js$  is a local section of  $\pi_M$  for each local section  $s$  of  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ .