

## CONSERVATION OF ENERGY, WORK - ENERGY THEOREM

In §17.3 Th<sup>m</sup>(5) and Th<sup>m</sup>(6) describe conditions that guarantee  $\vec{F}$  is conservative. We discussed it in the 3-d case on (370)–(371) where we said  $\vec{F}$  conservative  $\Leftrightarrow \nabla \times \vec{F} = 0$  provided  $\text{dom}(\vec{F})$  is simply connected. We can shed a little more light on this discussion with the help of Stokes' Th<sup>m</sup>, but that must wait a bit. For now we consider the connection between conservative forces and the conservation of energy.

- Let  $\vec{r}(t)$  for  $a \leq t \leq b$  describe the position of a mass  $m$  as it travels along some path  $C$ . Further suppose that a conservative force  $\vec{F}$  does work on  $m$ . We define the potential energy function to be  $U$  such that

$$\vec{F} = -\nabla U \quad (\text{in contrast to } \vec{F} = \nabla f \text{ where})$$

(we don't care about the sign)

Let's see why the total energy  $E = K + U$  is conserved and also why physicists put in the minus sign for  $\vec{F} = -\nabla U$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 W &\equiv \int_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = - \int_C (\nabla U) \cdot d\vec{r} = -[U(\vec{r}(b)) - U(\vec{r}(a))] \\
 &= \int_C m \vec{a} \cdot d\vec{r} : \text{Newton's Law holds on } C. \\
 &= \int_a^b m \frac{d^2 \vec{r}}{dt^2} \cdot \frac{d\vec{r}}{dt} dt \rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} [\vec{r}' \cdot \vec{r}'] = \vec{r}'' \cdot \vec{r}' + \vec{r}' \cdot \vec{r}'' \\
 &\quad \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [\vec{r}' \cdot \vec{r}'] = \vec{r}'' \cdot \vec{r}' \\
 &= \int_a^b \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \frac{1}{2} m \frac{d\vec{r}}{dt} \cdot \frac{d\vec{r}}{dt} \right] dt \quad \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \frac{m}{2} \frac{d\vec{r}}{dt} \cdot \frac{d\vec{r}}{dt} \right] = m \frac{d^2 \vec{r}}{dt^2} \cdot \frac{d\vec{r}}{dt} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} m [\vec{v}(b)]^2 - \frac{1}{2} m [\vec{v}(a)]^2 : \text{identify this is the difference in Kinetic Energy from time } b \text{ to time } a. \\
 &= K(b) - K(a)
 \end{aligned}$$

We find,  $K(b) - K(a) = -U(b) + U(a)$

$$\therefore U(a) + K(a) = U(b) + K(b)$$

$$\therefore \underline{E(a) = E(b)}$$

The total energy is conserved when the net force on some mass  $m$  is conservative.

WORK ENERGY THEOREM

Notice that our previous result had two lines of logic.  
 One part held because  $\vec{F} = -\nabla U$ , of course if  $\vec{F}$  is not conservative we cannot hope the total energy for  $m$  is conserved. However, the other line of logic depended only on Newton's Law  $\vec{F} = m\vec{a}$  (assuming  $m = \text{constant}$ )  
 The Work Energy Th<sup>n</sup> States that

$$W = K(b) - K(a)$$

this holds even for frictional forces etc....

**E150** The Electric field  $\vec{E}$  has  $\vec{E} = -\nabla V$  in Electrostatics.  
 The force on a charge  $q$  due to  $\vec{E}$  is  $\vec{F} = q\vec{E}$  then  
 the potential energy  $U = qV$  and

$$\vec{F} = -\nabla U = q(-\nabla V) = q\vec{E}$$

the electric potential  $V$  is the potential energy per unit charge.  
 In the case  $\vec{E} = (kQ/r^2)\hat{r}$  one has  $V = -kQ/r$  if we assume that " $\Theta = \infty$ ". Then

$$U(r) = -\frac{kqQ}{r}$$

And the conservation of energy for the charge  $q$  with mass  $m$  is

$$E_i = \frac{1}{2}mv_i^2 - \frac{kqQ}{r_i} = \frac{1}{2}mv_f^2 - \frac{kqQ}{r_f} = E_f$$

Other Electric Fields give different potential energy functions  
 But always we can calculate the potential from

$$V(\vec{r}) = - \int_0^{\vec{r}} \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{l}$$

and if you don't pick a zero for the potential (called  $\Theta$ ) you can still calculate differences in the potential.