

Problem 11 Given that $\det : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous mapping from the normed space $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ determine if the following sets of matrices are closed or open in the set of $n \times n$ matrices:

- (a.) $GL(n) = \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \det(A) \neq 0\}$ (general linear group)
- (b.) $SL(n) = \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \det(A) = 1\}$ (special linear group)

Problem 12 Let $F : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be defined by $F(A) = A^T A - I$ where I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Notice that F is clearly continuous since it has a formula which is formed from polynomials in the entries of A . Let $O(n) = F^{-1}\{0\}$.

- (a.) Explain why $O(n)$ is closed.
- (b.) Show that if $A, B \in O(n)$ then $AB, A^{-1} \in O(n)$ (this makes $O(n)$ a group since it clearly contains the identity matrix and matrix multiplication is associative)
- (c.) Is $O(n)$ connected? Hint: consider the determinant function on $O(n)$, recall $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$.

Problem 13 Let $F : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be defined by $F(A) = A^2$. Find the Frechet differential $dF_A(H)$ and prove your proposed linear transformation in H satisfies the required limit. My advice is as follows:

- (a.) calculate $F(A + H) - F(A)$ and select what appears linear in H and claim it is $dF_A(H)$
- (b.) verify the claim by plugging your guess into the definition of differentiable.

Problem 14 Suppose V, W are normed vector spaces. Show that if $F : V \rightarrow W$ is differentiable at x_0 in a normed vector space V then F is continuous at x_0 .

Problem 15 Show that if $F : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $G : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are differentiable at A then the matrix product function FG is likewise differentiable at A .

Hint: intuition suggests $F(A + H) \approx F(A) + dF_A(H)$ for H small, the same for G , multiply and take an educated guess

Problem 16 Find the Jacobian matrix for $F(x, y) = (x^2 - y^2, 2xy)$. Use the Jacobian matrix to help construct a linearization of F centered at $(1, 1)$.

Problem 17 Find the Jacobian matrix for $G(x, y, z) = x + y^2 + z^3$. Use the Jacobian matrix to help construct a linearization of G centered at $(1, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt[3]{3})$.

Problem 18 Find the Jacobian matrix for $H(r, t, s) = (r \cos(t) \sinh(s), r \cos(t) \sinh(s), r \cosh(s))$.

Problem 19 Calculate the differential for the determinant mapping $S : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at A where $S(A) = \det(A)$. Note a basis for $n \times n$ matrices is given by the matrix units $(E_{ij})_{kl} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}$ hence it suffices to calculate $dS_A(E_{ij})$ for arbitrary i, j . Significant partial credit will be awarded for working out the $n = 2$ case.

Problem 20 Calculate the differential for the trace mapping $T : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at A where $T(A) = \text{trace}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ii}$. Once again, it suffices to calculate $dT_A(E_{ij})$ for arbitrary i, j . Significant partial credit will be awarded for working out the $n = 2$ case.

PROBLEM 11 We are given $\det: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Determine if $GL(n) = \det^{-1}[(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)]$ and $SL(n) = \det^{-1}\{1\}$ are open or closed (neither is logically possible fwiw.)

(a.) $(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$ is open in $\mathbb{R}$.

The inverse image of open sets is open under a continuous mapping. Thus $GL(n) = \det^{-1}[(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)]$ is open as $\det$ is continuous.

(b.) $\{1\}$ is closed in $\mathbb{R}$ as $(-\infty, 1) \cup (1, \infty) = \mathbb{R} - \{1\}$ is open. Thus $SL(n) = \det^{-1}\{1\}$ is closed as the continuous mapping $\det$ takes the inverse image of closed sets to closed sets.

PROBLEM 12 Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be defined by $F(A) = A^T A - I$ where $I_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$. ($n \times n$ identity matrix) We observe F is continuous. Let $O(n) = F^{-1}\{0\}$

(a.) again, $\{0\}$ is closed in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, F continuous $\therefore F^{-1}\{0\}$ is closed in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

+ 2pts to anyone who did this 😊

Ok, I wonder, why did no one ask me how to show $\{0\}$ is closed in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. I'm guessing you all have assumed this. Well, to show it's closed we should show $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n} - \{0\}$ is open. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} - \{0\}$ subject the norm $\|A\|^2 = \text{tr}(AA^T)$. Since $A \neq 0$ it follows $\|A\| \neq 0$. Intuitively, $B_\delta(A) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} - \{0\}$ if we set $\delta = \|A\|/2$ then $0 \notin B_\delta(A)$. Let $X \in B_\delta(A)$ with $\delta = \|A\|/2$, observe $\|X - A\| < \frac{\|A\|}{2}$ hence $X \neq 0$ as $\|0 - A\| = \|A\| \neq \frac{\|A\|}{2}$. Thus, A is an interior point of $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n} - \{0\}$. But, A is arbitrary $\therefore \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} - \{0\}$ is open so, by definition, $\{0\}$ is closed.

PROBLEM 12

(b.) Let $A, B \in O(n) = \{A \mid A^T A = I\}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{We have } A^T A = I &\Rightarrow (A^T A)^{-1} = I^{-1} \\ &\Rightarrow A^{-1} (A^T)^{-1} = I \\ &\Rightarrow A^{-1} (A^{-1})^T = I \\ &\Rightarrow A^{-1} \in O(n).\end{aligned}$$

Also $B^T B = I$ so consider,

$$(AB)^T AB = B^T A^T AB = B^T I B = B^T B = I$$

thus $AB, A^{-1} \in O(n)$ as claimed.

(c.) Is $O(n)$ connected?

No. Consider $SO(n) = \{A \in O(n) \mid \det(A) = 1\}$

and $-SO(n) = \{A \in O(n) \mid \det(A) = -1\}$

Notice $A \in O(n) \Rightarrow A^T A = I \Rightarrow (\det(A))^2 = 1$

But, $x^2 = 1$ has $x = \pm 1$ (if $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is context).

Here $\det(A) \in \mathbb{R}$ thus $\det(A) = \pm 1$. It

follows $O(n) = SO(n) \cup [-SO(n)]$ where

$SO(n) \cap [-SO(n)] = \emptyset$ as $\det(A) = 1 \neq -1$.

Hence $O(n)$ has a separation and so fails to be connected.

Remark: So I did not need to show you $f(\text{connected}) = \text{connected}$ for f continuous. I hope you enjoyed it anyway. Maybe we'll need it later...

PROBLEM 13 Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with $F(A) = A^2$.

$$\begin{aligned} (a.) \quad \Delta F &= F(A+H) - F(A) \\ &= (A+H)(A+H) - A^2 \\ &= A^2 + \underbrace{AH + HA}_{dF_A(H)} + H^2 - A^2 \end{aligned}$$

(linear? ★)

$$\begin{aligned} (b.) \quad \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{F(A+H) - F(A) - dF_A(H)}{\|H\|} \right] &= \\ &\rightarrow \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{A^2 + AH + HA + H^2 - A^2 - (AH + HA)}{\|H\|} \right] \\ &= \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{H^2}{\|H\|} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Note, $\lim_{H \rightarrow 0} G(H) = 0$ iff $\lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \|G(H)\| = 0$

So, observe $\left\| \frac{H^2}{\|H\|} \right\| \leq \frac{\|H\|^2}{\|H\|} = \|H\|$

thus $0 < \left\| \frac{H^2}{\|H\|} \right\| \leq \|H\| \Rightarrow \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{H^2}{\|H\|} \right\| = 0$

by squeeze Th^m. Hence $\lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{H^2}{\|H\|} \right] = 0$

and we've shown $dF_A(H) = AH + HA$

★: we should check linearity,

$$\begin{aligned} dF_A(cH + K) &= A(cH + K) + (cH + K)A \\ &= cAH + AK + cHA + KA \\ &= c(AH + HA) + AK + KA \end{aligned}$$

$$= c dF_A(H) + dF_A(K) \therefore dF_A \text{ is linear.}$$

PROBLEM 14 (following Edwards excellent hint on pg. 75)

$$\text{Let } R(h) = \frac{F(a+h) - F(a) - dF_a(h)}{\|h\|} \text{ for } h \neq 0$$

$$\text{Observe, } F(a+h) = F(a) + dF_a(h) + R(h)\|h\|$$

Furthermore, if F is differentiable at $x=a$
then by defⁿ $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} R(h) = 0$ as $R(h)$

is precisely the Frechet quotient! Consider

then,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (F(a+h)) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (F(a) + dF_a(h) + R(h)\|h\|) \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (F(a)) + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (dF_a(h)) + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} R(h)\|h\| \\ &= F(a) + 0 + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} R(h) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|h\| \\ &= F(a). \end{aligned}$$

Woot. We have shown $F(a+h) \rightarrow F(a)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$
and so F is continuous at a .

(let $a = x_0$ sorry (ü))

Problem 15 $F: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $G: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$
 both differentiable at A . Show FG is diff at A .

$$\text{Let } R_F(H) = \frac{F(A+H) - F(A) - dF_A(H)}{\|H\|}$$

$$\text{and } R_G(H) = \frac{G(A+H) - G(A) - dG_A(H)}{\|H\|} \text{ for } H \neq 0.$$

Observe $\lim_{H \rightarrow 0} R_F(H) = \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} R_G(H) = 0$ by

differentiability of F and G at A . Furthermore,

$$F(A+H) = F(A) + dF_A(H) + R_F(H) \|H\|$$

$$G(A+H) = G(A) + dG_A(H) + R_G(H) \|H\|$$

again, for $H \neq 0$. Consider then,

$$F(A+H) G(A+H) = \left(F(A) + dF_A(H) + R_F(H) \|H\| \right) \cdot \left(G(A) + dG_A(H) + R_G(H) \|H\| \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= F(A)G(A) + dF_A(H)G(A) + F(A)dG_A(H) \\ &\quad + dF_A(H)dG_A(H) + dF_A(H)R_G(H)\|H\| \\ &\quad + R_F(H)\|H\|G(A) + R_F(H)\|H\|dG_A(H) \\ &\quad + R_F(H)\|H\|R_G(H)\|H\| + F(A)R_G(H)\|H\|. \end{aligned}$$

$$= F(A)G(A) + dF_A(H)G(A) + F(A)dG_A(H) + \dots$$

clearly the $\dots$ terms will vanish in $H \rightarrow 0$ limit even after multiplication by $\frac{1}{\|H\|}$ as they contain at least two copies of a manifest H -dependence.

PROBLEM 15 continued

Define, or claim $d(FG)_A(H) = dF_A(H)G(A) + F(A)dG_A(H)$.

Consider then, for $H \neq 0$ we define:

$$R_{FG}(H) = \frac{F(A+H)G(A+H) - F(A)G(A) - d(FG)_A(H)}{\|H\|}$$

We can simplify the formula for $R_{FG}(H)$ in view of the algebra given on previous page! Only the $+\dots$ terms are uncanceled.

$$R_{FG}(H) = \frac{1}{\|H\|} \left(dF_A(H) [dG_A(H) + R_G(H)\|H\|] + F(A)R_G(H)\|H\| + R_F(H)\|H\| [G(A) + dG_A(H) + R_G(H)\|H\|] \right)$$

Counting, we had 9 total terms, 3 cancelled and 6 remain. Let me simplify the expression above, for $H \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{FG}(H) &= \frac{1}{\|H\|} dF_A(H)dG_A(H) + dF_A(H)R_G(H) + F(A)R_G(H) + \\ &\quad + R_F(H)[G(A) + dG_A(H) + R_G(H)\|H\|] \\ &= \frac{1}{\|H\|} dF_A(H)dG_A(H) + [dF_A(H) + F(A)]R_G(H) \\ &\quad + R_F(H)[G(A) + dG_A(H) + R_G(H)\|H\|] \end{aligned}$$

Observe, by continuity of dG_A, dF_A at $H=0$ we have $dF_A(H), dG_A(H) \rightarrow 0$ as $H \rightarrow 0$. By diff. of F and G we also have $R_F(H), R_G(H) \rightarrow 0$ as $H \rightarrow 0$. Thus, by limit laws we find all terms vanish except the term $\frac{1}{\|H\|} dF_A(H)dG_A(H)$ which requires further analysis $\rightarrow$

Problem 15 continued

$$\lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{\|H\|} dF_A(H) dG_A(H) \right) = 0 \quad (\text{why?})$$

Observe $\frac{1}{\|H\|} dF_A(H) = dF_A\left(\frac{H}{\|H\|}\right)$.

Thus $\left\| \frac{H}{\|H\|} \right\| = 1$. It should follow $\left\| dF_A\left(\frac{H}{\|H\|}\right) \right\| < \infty$.

Observe
$$dF_A(V) = dF_A\left(\sum_{i,j} V_{ij} E_{ij}\right) \\ = \sum_{i,j} V_{ij} dF_A(E_{ij})$$

💡 clearly $\left\| dF_A(V) \right\| \leq \underbrace{n^2 \max \{ |V_{ij}|, \|dF_A(E_{ij})\| \}}_M$

Then, as $V = \frac{H}{\|H\|}$ for $H \neq 0$

certainly has $|V_{ij}| \leq 1$ we have $\left\| dF_A\left(\frac{H}{\|H\|}\right) \right\| \leq M$
independent of H . Oh, so, let's squeeze this to
oblivion,

$$0 \leq \left\| dF_A\left(\frac{H}{\|H\|}\right) \right\| \leq M$$

(*)
$$0 \leq \left\| \frac{1}{\|H\|} dF_A(H) dG_A(H) \right\| \overset{\text{use Banach Algebra prop. of } \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}!}{\leq} \left\| dF_A\left(\frac{H}{\|H\|}\right) \right\| \left\| dG_A(H) \right\| \\ \leq M \left\| dG_A(H) \right\|$$

Therefore, $\left\| \frac{1}{\|H\|} dF_A(H) dG_A(H) \right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $H \rightarrow 0$
since $dG_A(H) \rightarrow dG_A(0) = 0$ and the squeeze Th^m applies
to the above *

PROBLEM 15 continued, again

Collecting our work thus far we have shown that

$$\lim_{H \rightarrow 0} (R_{FG}(H)) = 0$$

But, this implies FG is differentiable at A .

Moreover, we found

$$d(FG)_A(H) = dF_A(H)G(A) + F(A)dG_A(H)$$

Application ! $F(A) = A$, $G(A) = A$

$$\begin{aligned} d(FG)(H) &= dF_A(H)G(A) + F(A)dG_A(H) \\ &= HA + AH. \end{aligned}$$

Could write, $dA^2(H) = HA + AH$.

Continuing for fun,

$$\begin{aligned} (dA^3)(H) &= d(A^2 A)(H) \\ &= dA^2(H)A + A^2 dA(H) \\ &= (HA + AH)A + A^2 H \\ &= HA^2 + AHA + A^2 H. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing, could you derive $dA^n(H)$?

PROBLEM 16

$$F(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} x^2 - y^2 \\ 2xy \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow F'(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_x F & \partial_y F \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2x & -2y \\ 2y & 2x \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(1+h, 1+k) &\approx F(1, 1) + F'(1, 1) \begin{bmatrix} h \\ k \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h \\ k \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2h - 2k \\ 2h + 2k \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \boxed{(2h - 2k, 2 + 2h + 2k)} \end{aligned}$$

PROBLEM 17

$$G(x, y, z) = x + y^2 + z^3 \Rightarrow G'(x, y, z) = [1, 2y, 3z^2]$$

$$\begin{aligned} G(1+a, \sqrt{2}+b, \sqrt[3]{3}+c) &\approx G(1, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt[3]{3}) + G'(1, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt[3]{3}) \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} \\ &\approx 1 + 2 + 3 + [1, 2\sqrt{2}, 3 \cdot 3^{2/3}] \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} \\ &\approx \boxed{6 + a + 2\sqrt{2}b + 3^{5/3}c} \end{aligned}$$

PROBLEM 18

$$H(r, t, s) = (r \cos(t) \sinh(s), r \sin(t) \sinh(s), r \cosh(s))$$

$$H'(r, t, s) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial H}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial H}{\partial s} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos t \sinh s & -r \sin t \sinh s & r \cosh s \\ \sin t \sinh s & r \cos t \sinh s & r \cosh s \\ \cosh s & 0 & r \sinh s \end{bmatrix}$$

PROBLEM 19 $\epsilon_{123\dots n} = 1$ and all other values obtained by complete antisymmetry.

Definition: $\det(A) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} A_{i_1 1} A_{i_2 2} \dots A_{i_n n}$

Let us study the directional derivative along E_{ij} at A .

We feed det the path $\varphi(t) = A + t E_{ij}$ for fixed, but arbitrary, $i, j \in \mathbb{N}_n$. Observe that:

$$(D_{E_{ij}} \det)(A) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} [\det(A + t E_{ij})] \right|_{t=0}$$

But, more to the point, we must study the difference quotient formed as follows:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{\det(A + t E_{ij}) - \det(A)}{t} \right] = ? \quad \textcircled{?}$$

Consider then, as $(E_{ij})_{kl} = \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} \Rightarrow$ non zero entry in j^{th} column.

$$A + t E_{ij} = \left[A_{i1} \mid A_{i2} \mid \dots \mid A_j + t e_i \mid \dots \mid A_{in} \right]$$

By multilinearity of determinant. (It's linear in each column),

$$\det(A + t E_{ij}) = \det[A_{i1} \mid \dots \mid A_j \mid \dots \mid A_{in}] + t \det[A_{i1} \mid \dots \mid e_i \mid \dots \mid A_{in}]$$

Thus, $\det(A + t E_{ij}) - \det(A) = t \det[A_{i1} \mid A_{i2} \mid \dots \mid e_i \mid \dots \mid A_{in}]$

thus, as t - cancels in $\textcircled{?}$

$$(D_{E_{ij}} \det)(A) = \det \left[\text{col}_1(A) \mid \dots \mid \text{col}_{i-1}(A) \mid e_i \mid \text{col}_{i+1}(A) \mid \dots \mid \text{col}_n(A) \right]$$

Continuing $\rightarrow$

$$\det(M_{ij})$$

PROBLEM 19 / continuity.

We can piece together $d_A(\det)(H)$ as a sum of directional derivatives. We don't have a Jacobian matrix here, but this is next best idea. I propose

$$d_A(\det)(H) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n H_{ij} (D_{E_{ij}} \det)(A)$$

$$= \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n H_{ij} \det(M_{ij}) \right]$$

Let me exhibit $n=2, 3$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M_{11} = d$$

$$M_{22} = a$$

$$M_{21} = -b$$

$$M_{12} = -c$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M_{11} = \det \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ h & i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M_{12} = -\det \begin{bmatrix} d & f \\ g & i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M_{13} = \det \begin{bmatrix} d & e \\ g & h \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M_{21} = -\det \begin{bmatrix} b & c \\ h & i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M_{22} = \det \begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ g & i \end{bmatrix} \text{ etc...}$$

$n=2$

$$d_A(\det) \begin{pmatrix} H_{11} & H_{12} \\ H_{22} & H_{22} \end{pmatrix} = H_{11} d - H_{12} c - H_{21} b + H_{22} a$$

Remark: the reader will forgive me if I fail to prove the Frechet
 $\frac{d}{dt} \det(A + tH) \rightarrow 0$ for the proposed differential ☺

Problem 20

Let $T: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $T(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ii} \equiv \text{tr}(A)$.
Calculate dT_A and show T diff at A .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Observe, } T(A+H) &= \text{tr}(A+H) = \sum_{i=1}^n (A+H)_{ii} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ii} + \sum_{i=1}^n H_{ii} \\ &= \text{tr}(A) + \text{tr}(H). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $T(A+H) - T(A) = \text{tr}(H)$
If we define $dT_A(H) = \text{tr}(H)$ then
clearly dT_A is linear and

$$\lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{T(A+H) - T(A) - dT_A(H)}{\|H\|} \right) = \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{0}{\|H\|} \right) = 0.$$

Thus T is diff at A and $dT_A(H) = \text{tr}(H)$.

Just for fun, to exhibit constructing dT from DT ,
 $(D_{E_{ij}} T)(H) = d_H T(E_{ij}) = \text{tr}(E_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}$

$$\text{Thus } d_A T(H) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n H_{ij} \delta_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^n H_{ii} = \text{tr}(H)$$

(to make this less circular comment, one could
calculate $(D_{E_{ij}} T)(H)$ directly; $(D_{E_{ij}} T)(H) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\text{tr}(H + t E_{ij}) \right) \Big|_{t=0}$
 $= \frac{d}{dt} (H_{11} + \dots + H_{nn} + t \delta_{ij})$
 $= \delta_{ij}.$)